

**MISSISSIPPI STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
OFFICE OF HEALTH PROTECTION  
BUREAU OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY**



**MISSISSIPPI STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH**

---

**PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS  
CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM**

**Annual Implementation Report  
Fiscal Year 2010 (7/1/2009 - 6/30/2010)**

**September 2010**

(This page intentionally left blank)

**Mississippi State Department of Health  
Bureau of Public Water Supply**

**Public Water Systems Capacity Development Program  
Fiscal Year 2010 Annual Implementation Report**

**INTRODUCTION**

The Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Amendment of 1996 (SDWA) requires that each state implement a Capacity Development Program to improve the technical, managerial, and financial capacity of the state's public water systems and to prevent the creation of new public water systems that do not have the capacity to comply with current and future provisions of the SDWA. This report describes Mississippi's Capacity Development Program, and outlines the implementation results for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2010, which was the period from July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010. Submission of this report to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IV in Atlanta, GA, represents one of the capacity development reporting milestones required by EPA to avoid withholdings from the FY-2011 Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) capitalization grant.

**NEW PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS' CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT**

To comply with the capacity development requirements of the SDWA for new system's, the State Legislature revised the Mississippi Safe Drinking Water Law (Section 41-26-8, MS Code of 1972 Annotated) to require that all new community and non-transient non-community public water systems be approved by the Mississippi State Department of Health (MSDH) prior to beginning construction. During the review/approval process, MSDH is required to ensure that each proposed new public water system has the technical and operational capacity to comply with all SDWA requirements. That is effectively the Program's first "control point," or point at which the State can exercise authority to ensure the demonstration of a newly proposed system's capacity to serve its customers from the *technical* side. Another control point created by the State Law's revision is the requirement that, prior to approving a new public water system, MSDH must have written certification from the Executive Director of Mississippi's Public Utilities staff that the new water system has the *managerial* and *financial* capacity to comply with all SDWA requirements. There have been no changes in the State's legal authority or control points since the inception of the Capacity Development Program.

**EXISTING PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS' CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT**

The State of Mississippi has approximately 1,100 public water systems that are subject to the requirements of the SDWA. Since Mississippi is a rural state, the vast majority of these systems are small with limited financial resources. It is therefore impossible to develop a mandatory program in the State that would force these small systems to immediately make the necessary capital improvements to achieve the technical, managerial, and financial capacity needed to comply with the SDWA. However, recent additions to the SDWA force small systems to make necessary improvements or consolidate their system with other surrounding systems. Regardless, the method implemented by MSDH consists primarily of two components: 1) stringent enforcement of existing laws and regulations, and 2) implementation of a capacity assessment rating system and technical assistance procedures.

**ENFORCEMENT** - MSDH stringently enforces the water supply laws and regulations, such as those related to: 1) SDWA water quality standards, 2) waterworks operator licensure, 3) overloaded water systems, 4) corrosion control treatment facilities, 5) cross-connection control, and 6) water system board

member training. By strictly enforcing these laws and regulations, MSDH helps ensure that a safe supply of drinking water is provided. This strict enforcement also encourages water systems without adequate capacity to seek alternate methods of compliance, including the pursuit of mergers with neighboring viable water systems. In most cases, these mergers (or "consolidations") result in the creation of much more viable public water systems in the capacity assessment areas.

**CAPACITY ASSESSMENT** - MSDH developed a Capacity Assessment Rating Program to promote existing systems' capacity development. The technical, managerial, and financial capacity of each public water system is rated annually during inspections conducted by MSDH regional engineering staff. The assessment is in the simplest of terms an "open book test," since water system personnel are aware of the questions and the necessary requirements to receive credit. The maximum rating possible is "5.0" and a rating of "0.0" is the minimum. The rating is determined using Capacity Assessment Forms (CAFs), which consist of three major sections: 1) Technical, 2) Managerial, and 3) Financial. Each section includes key questions designed to identify those tasks that a public water system must routinely accomplish in order to demonstrate their capacity to comply with all current and proposed requirements of the SDWA and Mississippi's Safe Drinking Water Law.

The CAFs were developed by MSDH in conjunction with an Advisory Committee consisting of representatives of various stakeholder organizations such as the Mississippi Rural Water Association, the Mississippi Municipal League, the Mississippi Water & Pollution Control Operators' Association, the RCAP-Community Resource Group, Mississippi Development Authority, Public Service Commission, etc., as well as selected water system managers and operators from throughout the State. Each year, prior to the annual Advisory Committee meeting (typically held in the last quarter of the state fiscal year), the MSDH staff reviews and evaluates the program, discusses the strengths, weaknesses, and any related problems which may have arisen during the fiscal year. The staff then determines any needed program changes to be reported to and discussed with the Advisory Committee. After input is obtained from the Advisory Committee at the annual meeting, MSDH makes changes as needed to the Program's strategy for the following year's CAFs.

In June, 2010, the Advisory Committee met to discuss some minor changes and recommendations to the current capacity development/implementation strategy. Based on input from this year's Advisory Committee meeting, those changes are as follows:

### **Question Additions**

- |             |  |
|-------------|--|
| Added T1:   | <b>Does the water system have any significant deficiencies?</b><br>This addition was included due to the major impact of the Ground Water Rule. The Bureau of Public Water Supply has developed criteria as to what constitutes significant deficiencies based on guidance from EPA. |
| Added T4-4  | <b>Are well pumping tests performed routinely?</b><br>Systems must have pump tests performed at least every two years on all wells that are more than three (3) years old, OR pump tests every year on wells at systems with design capacity exceeding 80%.                          |
| Added T5-2: | <b>Does the water system have a usable backup source of water?</b><br>This is not a new question, but rather it was moved from T1 to T5.   |

### **Question Modification/Criteria for Credit**

- T2-2: - Security vulnerability self-assessments and emergency response plans must be updated annually.
- M2-1: - The new state wastewater requirements now have to be addressed in the water systems's "Water User Agreement."

### **General Committee Comments**

The committee also made suggestions or comments regarding implementation of the capacity assessment program. These are as follows:

- Some committee members expressed concerns about MSDH's right to review financial related documents of the water system. This was a reoccurring comment from previous committee meetings.
- It was suggested to move the question concerning the system having a backup source of water to be integrated with the question concerning having a backup source of power. This suggestion was implemented into the 2011 capacity assessment cycle, as noted above.
- It was suggested to move the cross connection policy question M5-1 to T1-2 to potentially prevent systems from losing extra points. This suggestion was noted.

Appendix A contains copies of the three CAFs used during FY-2010. Included are the: 1) Standard Form – used for community public water systems, 2) Private Form – used for public water systems that are owned by private investors, and 3) Non-Transient Non-Community Form – used for public water systems that provide water to 25 or more of the same individuals, in a non-residential manner, on a daily basis (schools, industries, etc.).

**TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE** – One of the major advantages to the MSDH Capacity Assessment Rating is to aid the department in identifying public water systems that are at risk of becoming unable to provide safe, adequate drinking water to their customers. Once systems are shown to have low capacity, many efforts are then made to provide assistance to improve those water systems within the limits of funding. Through the Small System Technical Assistance Set-Aside and the State Program Management Set-Aside provided through the Drinking Water Systems Improvements Revolving Loan Fund (DWSIRLF) Program and the Bureau of Public Water Supply Staff, MSDH can and has provided needed capacity assistance at no cost to the water systems. The technical assistance takes several forms to allow the recipients options that are most beneficial to their particular situation. The set-asides have provided MSDH the ability to contract with technical assistance providers such as Community Resource Group (CRG), Mississippi Rural Water Association (MsRWA), and the Mississippi State University Extension Service (MSU-ES) to provide specialized assistance beyond that which MSDH Bureau of Public Water Supply staff is able to provide. The assistance includes: 1) Comprehensive and Intermediate Technical Assistance provided by CRG; 2) PEER Review Program provided by MSU-ES; and 3) Hands-On Operator Training provided by MsRWA.

MSDH provides the PEER Review Program and Comprehensive and Intermediate Assistance Program technical assistance contractors an annual list of public water systems determined to be in the most need of assistance based on the previous year's capacity assessment scores and SDWA violations. Periodic reports are provided by the contractors to MSDH to confirm that the assistance being provided is proving to be beneficial to the public water supplies of Mississippi.

The Comprehensive and Intermediate technical assistance provided through CRG allows the water system officials and staff to receive one-on-one assistance to improve their overall capacity ratings. This type of technical assistance is involuntary since MSDH is providing CRG with the list of the poorest performing systems. Water systems receive a letter notifying them of their selection for technical assistance. Refusing the assistance may affect future compliance actions that may be taken on that system, if warranted, by the Compliance and Enforcement Branch of MSDH. The assistance provided is specific and specialized, based on the water supply's needs. It could take the form of obtaining new management policies, financial budgeting, or operational improvements. MSDH Regional Engineering staff may also recommend additional systems that they deem in need of technical assistance. CRG then performs an assessment of the system by meeting with the officials and operator. After the assessment, CRG develops a specialized task list of assistance for the system. After MSDH's approval of the list, CRG, in cooperation with the system, proceeds to execute the tasks.

The PEER Review program provides improved technical operations to the water supply staff through peer to peer interaction. The voluntary program pairs select water system operators with other water system operators to assist them in preparing for annual MSDH inspections. Similar to comprehensive and intermediate technical assistance, MSDH provides MSU-ES a list of poorly performing systems having Capacity Assessment scores less than 3.0. MSU-ES contacts those referred systems by letter to determine their interest in participating in the PEER Review Program. To ensure that the assistance will have the greatest impact, contact is made with the responsible officials as well as the certified operator. Additionally, MSU-ES also advertises the benefits of the PEER Review Program at various trade shows and in publications throughout the year. A water system desiring a PEER Review makes contact with MSU-ES personnel. They, in turn, coordinate a meeting for all parties involved, including at a minimum: the operators on the PEER Review team, the water system operator, and the responsible official(s). At that meeting, all components of the capacity assessment are performed, including an onsite inspection of the water system itself. A report by the PEER Review team is later generated, outlining the issues raised at the meeting, including suggestions for possible improvements that could be made for the benefit of the water system and its users. Whereas the comprehensive and intermediate assistance emphasizes managerial and financial components, the PEER Review Program mostly emphasizes technical components while providing limited managerial and financial assistance.

The Hands-On Operator Training, conducted by MsRWA provides small system operators specialized "hands-on" training and skills they need in order to better operate their water systems on a daily basis. Some operators, especially new ones, may not have all the needed hands-on skills in order to effectively operate the water system. The training sessions, held throughout the State, provide participants with experience in actual hands-on skills such as meter repair, chlorinator repair, fire hydrant maintenance, leak detection, etc. The operators' newly acquired skills could lead to potential cost savings to the water system, since operators learn how to make repairs themselves rather than hiring help.

MSDH has noted significant improvements to the water systems following the implementation of the assistance programs which are listed below:

Comprehensive and Intermediate Assistance: It has resulted in an average capacity assessment improvement of 0.56 points after assistance is received. More specifically, the Tombigbee Water Association improved from a score of 2.00 to 4.33 in one year, and the Pine Street W/A improved its score from 2.33 to 4.33 in one year. Sometimes, real improvements become evident over a period of years such as in the case of Boyle-Skeene Water Association, which is comprised of four different water supplies. Over a two-year period, these water systems improved their scores by 4.33 – 4.67 points.

Picayune Utilities and Popular Springs Water District each received over a two-year period a 2.67 point improvement.

Additionally, in FY-2010, those systems receiving PEER Review assistance realized an average increase in their Capacity Assessment scores of 0.53 points. The comparison of the state FY-2010 annual inspections versus the system's initial ratings are pending, but the marked improvement should be at much the same level or better with the 2009 average improvement. The largest point improvement for a system during state FY-2009 was 1.67 points. As stated previously, this program is voluntary, and it also allows the system to seek assistance anonymously. Whereas the Comprehensive and Intermediate Assistance contract, a full detailed report is supplied, the PEER Review Program gives systems a chance to request and receive help from PEER Review Team operators familiar with the regulatory agency's methods, but reports made to the Board and Department do not include the system names. In the event that something is truly a public health problem, PEER Review Team members are required to inform the Department of those issues. Both monthly and quarterly, the contractor provides reports of activities including specific redacted reports of the individual PEER Reviews.

MSDH has found that the majority of public water systems are making efforts to improve even though, as previously indicated, the Capacity Development Program for existing systems is not mandatory. There are no specific penalties for a water system refusing assistance (which does occasionally occur) or failing to improve/maintain their Capacity Assessment Rating. However, such actions do have inherent consequences. The annual capacity assessment results for all systems are publicized in a paper of local circulation and on the MSDH website. Similar to the way the Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) is perceived, a primary goal of the program is for the public, not just the public water systems, to take an active role in assuring the quality of the State's water supply. The general public desires that their utilities be in compliance with laws and regulations, be viable for the future, and provide the best quality water at a reasonable cost to them.

Additional indirect consequences of failing to take action to improve Capacity Assessment Ratings include: 1) "losing" to neighboring water systems - many neighboring water system view the capacity rating as a competition as to see who's has the "best" water system; and 2) receiving lower priority when seeking certain government funding. Regarding funding priority, the State's DWSRF Loan Program contains priority ranking incentives related to Capacity Assessment Ratings, and other government agencies such as the Mississippi Developmental Authority (CDBG Program) have begun using a portion of the Capacity Assessment Rating to evaluate applicants for funding. Additionally, the DWSRF requires that when reviewing facilities plans with less than a perfect score, the potential loan recipients address why points were missed. This competition between water systems and attempts to seek funding results in more viable water systems, which ultimately translates into a benefit to the customers and the general public.

## **RESULTS**

The efficacy of Mississippi's Capacity Development Program is best demonstrated by actual results. No new public water systems approved within the last three years have appeared on EPA's "Significant Non-compliers (SNC)" lists. During that same three-year period, Capacity Assessment Ratings on average have progressively increased. Appendix B contains a complete listing of the Technical, Managerial, Financial, and Overall/Average Capacity Assessment Ratings (scores) of Mississippi's public water systems for FY-2008, FY-2009, and FY-2010.

When reviewing the data, the following should be considered: Within the presented data it is important to note that several systems have been consolidated or merged. They will be indicated with the "CON"

designation as they were consolidated with existing water supplies. Any newly created water supplies are identified by “NS”. There have also been systems that dissolve and are no longer serving customers. They are designated at “DIS”.

Appendix C contains individual 8-Year Distribution Charts (FY-2003 to FY-2010) of the scores for each category. This information clearly reflects a trend in all categories away from lower scores (0 through 3) and toward higher scores (4 and 5) and, thus, toward improved capacity for water systems throughout the State. Mississippi’s Capacity Development Program continues to prove very effective in producing the desired result of protecting public health by improving the technical, managerial, and financial capacities of the public water systems throughout the State.

## **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

A primary goal of the Capacity Development Program is for the public, not just the public water systems, to take an active role in assuring the quality of the State’s water supply. Customers of public water systems which have received a high rating are encouraged to contact their water system officials to congratulate them for doing an excellent job of operating and managing their water system. Likewise, customers of public water systems which have received a low rating are strongly encouraged to contact their water system officials and request a copy of the system’s most recent Capacity Assessment Form. That form will quickly identify the areas where the water system needs improvement. A lower rating could indicate that the system is more likely to be non-viable and, thus, unable to protect public health by complying with all SDWA requirements. Customers of such systems are also strongly encouraged to get involved with their water system to ensure that any needed improvements are completed.

## **FUTURE**

With new regulations going into effect especially the Ground Water Rule, systems may have additional difficulty with compliance. As the Ground Water Rule is having a major impact on the state, the need for small system technical assistance has increased. MSDH prepared for these challenges by providing targeted compliance assistance for the SDWA regulations associated with the Ground Water Rule, the Disinfection By-Products Rule, and the newly adopted State Fluoride Regulation. The assistance is currently being provided through funds afforded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. It is estimated to have a profound effect on compliance, the capacity assessment program and water systems’ scores. Based on information from various sources, it appears that the water supply concepts of asset management and sustainable infrastructure will be a major part of future needs and requirements of water systems. Additional funding provided to the DWSRF program will allow for more specialized assistance in the previously mentioned areas of asset management planning or sustainable infrastructure to the water systems in the State. Additionally, water system officials of 10,000 population and less are now required to attend Board Management Training. We believe that this is having a positive impact on capacity assessment scores.

## **SUMMARY**

Through the passage of proper legislation, the strict enforcement of existing laws and regulations, and the implementation of sound capacity assessment and technical assistance procedures, Mississippi continues a Capacity Development Program that effectively provides for higher levels of technical, managerial, and financial capacity of new and existing public water systems throughout the State. The program also provides an additional benefit to the public in the form of better utilization of assistance resources and



funding. Although a few changes have been made this year, the annual evaluation process, along with the Advisory Committee review and public involvement, will help to assure that any needed future changes are identified and implemented in a timely manner.

If there are questions regarding the information presented in this report, or if you have recommendations for improving the Public Water System Capacity Development Program, please contact:

Keith Allen, P.E., Director  
Bureau of Public Water Supply  
P. O. Box 1700  
Jackson, MS 39215-1700

Note that copies of this report may be obtained by calling 601-576-7518, or by accessing the Mississippi State Department of Health's website at [www.msdh.state.ms.us](http://www.msdh.state.ms.us), by clicking on "Publications," then "Water Supply," then "Reports 2010."

(This page intentionally left blank)

## **APPENDIX A**

### **Fiscal Year 2010 Capacity Assessment Forms**

(This page intentionally left blank)



**Mississippi Department of Health  
Bureau of Public Water Supply**

STANDARD FORM

**FY 2010 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form**

**NOTE:** This form must be completed whenever a routine sanitary survey of a public water system is conducted by a regional engineer of the Bureau of Public Water Supply

PWS ID#: \_\_\_\_\_ Class: \_\_\_\_\_ Survey Date: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_  
Public Water System: \_\_\_\_\_ Conn: \_\_\_\_\_  
Certified Waterworks Operator: \_\_\_\_\_ Pop: \_\_\_\_\_

**CAPACITY RATING DETERMINATION**

Technical (T) Capacity Rating: [\_\_\_\_\_] Managerial (M) Capacity Rating [\_\_\_\_\_] Financial (F) Capacity Rating [\_\_\_\_\_]

$$\text{Capacity Rating} = \frac{T + M + F}{3} = \frac{\quad}{3} =$$

**Overall Capacity Rating = \_\_\_\_\_**

Completed by on \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_

Technical Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[T1] 1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly? [Y N] (i.e. Is pH, iron, free chlorine, etc. within acceptable range?) 2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at the time of survey? (No significant deficiencies/adequacy of security)? [Y N] (NOTE: Equipment deficiencies must be identified in survey report.) (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[T2] Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years? [Y N NA]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[T3] 1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for the survey? [Y N] 2) Was log book up to date and properly maintained and did it show that MDH Minimum JOB Guidelines for W. W. Operators were being met? [Y N] 3) Was the water system properly maintained at the time of survey? [Y N] 4) Did operator satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system? [Y N] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[T4] 1) Does water system routinely track water loss and were acceptable water loss records available for review by the regional engineer? [Y N] 2) Is water system overloaded? (i.e. serving customers in excess of MDH approved design capacity)? [Y N] 3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing pressure problems in any part(s) of the distribution system? [Y N] (based on operator information, customer complaints, MDH records, other information) (NOTE: YES FOR #1 AND NOs FOR #2 & #3 required to receive point)	1)Y - pt. 2)N - pt. 3)N - pt.	
[T5] Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages? (i.e. generator, emergency tie-ins, etc.) [Y N] (NOTE: Must be documented on survey report)	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
<b>TECHNICAL CAPACITY RATING = [_____] (Total Points)</b>		

Public Water System: \_\_\_\_\_ PWS ID #: \_\_\_\_\_  
 FY 2010 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form Survey Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Managerial Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[M1] Were all SDWA required records maintained in a logical and orderly manner and available for review by the regional engineer during the survey? [Y N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M2] 1) Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and were these policies available for review during the survey? [Y N] 2) Have all board members (in office more than 12 months) completed Board Member Training? [Y N NA] 3) Does the Board of Directors meet monthly and were minutes of Board meetings available for review during the survey? (NOTE: Quarterly meetings allowed if system has an officially designated full time manager) [Y N NA] (NOTE: ALL YESs or NAs required to receive point. NA - Not Applicable)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[M3] Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment? [Y N]	N - 1pt. Y - 0pt.	
[M4] Has the water system developed a long range improvements plan and was this plan available for review during the survey? [Y N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M5] 1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection control program in compliance with MDH regulations? [Y N] 2) Was a copy of the MDH approved bacti site plan and lead/copper site plan available for review during the survey and do the bacti results clearly show that this approved plan is being followed? [Y N] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
<b>MANAGERIAL CAPACITY RATING = [ ____ ] (Total Points)</b>		

Financial Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[F1] Has the water system raised water rates in the past 5 years? [Y N] (NOTE: Point may be awarded if the water system provides acceptable financial documentation clearly showing that a rate increase is not needed, i.e. revenue has consistently exceeded expenditures by at least 10%, etc.)	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F2] Does the water system have an officially adopted policy requiring that water rates be routinely reviewed and adjusted as appropriate and was this policy available for review during the survey? [Y N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F3] Does the water system have an officially adopted cut-off policy for customers who do not pay their water bills, was a copy of this policy available for review by the regional engineer, and do system records (cut-off lists, etc.) <u>clearly</u> show that the water system effectively implements this cut-off policy? [Y N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F4] Was a copy of the water system's officially adopted annual budget available for review by the regional engineer and does the water system's financial accounting system clearly and accurately track the expenditure and receipt of funds? [Y N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F5 - Municipal Systems] 1) Is the municipality current in submitting audit reports to the State Auditor's Office? [Y N] 2) Was a copy of the latest audit report available for review at the time of the survey? [Y N] 3) Does this audit report clearly show that water and sewer fund account(s) are maintained separately from all other municipal accounts? [Y N] (NOTE: Yes answer to all questions required to receive point.)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[F5 - Rural Systems] 1) Has the rural water system filed the required financial reports with the State Auditor's Office and were these reports available for review? [Y N] 2) Does the latest financial report show that receipts exceeded expenditures? [Y N] (NOTE: Yes answer to both questions required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
<b>FINANCIAL CAPACITY RATING = [ ____ ] (Total Points)</b>		

**Mississippi State Department of Health  
Bureau of Public Water Supply  
Capacity Development Rating Form Assessment Criteria  
01 July 2009 - 30 June 2010**

(NOTE: Items in blue will be assessed beginning July 1, 2010)

**Technical Capacity**

- T1 (1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly?** Corrosion control plants: within +/- 0.5 of target pH (approximately 8.4, Langlier Index, or 7.2-7.8 if adding phosphate for corrosion AND minimum phosphate residual of 0.5 mg/L as P or 1.5 mg/L as PO<sub>4</sub> (most test kits)), Iron removal plants: finished water Fe < 0.3 mg/l, Chlorine: Adequate at plant to provide free residual throughout system, spot checked on system, Systems adjusting Fluoride: 0.7 - 1.3 mg/l
- T1 (2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at the time of survey? (no significant deficiencies/adequacy of security)?** Adequate security: locked fence around wells/treatment plant/tank (6' or 5' + barbed wire at top), locked hatches on water storage tanks (operator verifies), Security Vulnerability Self-Assessment and Emergency Response Plan, both updated annually. Required equipment in place (i.e., phosphate and/or fluoride feeders on all wells if required), major components sized correctly if affects water quality or quantity, major components working at time of inspection unless provisions for repairs made. Must be noted on inspection report. Must have a usable backup source of water.
- T2 Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years?** Maintenance and painting contracts, tank inspection reports, operator can inspect own tank if he/she writes a report and/or takes pictures, painted if needed.
- T3 (1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for survey?** Operator or representative must be present unless emergency; operator of record shouldn't miss two in a row.
- T3 (2) Was log book up to date and properly maintained and did it show that MSDH Minimum JOB Guidelines for W. W. Operators were being met?** Log book: Cl<sub>2</sub> recorded as required, pH, Fe, Fluoride, and phosphate where applicable, major events recorded (fix major leaks, replace chlorine cylinder, equipment repairs, etc.) Part time operator must make required entries in log book to show MSDH MINIMUM JOB GUIDELINES are met. Major events can be recorded separately (work orders).
- T3 (3) Was the water system properly maintained at the time of survey?** Grass cut, packing not leaking excessively, plant presentable, etc.
- T3 (4) Did the operator satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system?** Must have appropriate test kits, fresh reagents, and able to perform tests (where applicable: chlorine, pH, iron, fluoride, phosphate). Regional engineer may perform tests to verify operator's results. Chlorine test must be performed by operator at all inspections.
- T4 (1) Does water system routinely track water loss and were acceptable water loss records available for review by the regional engineer?** Requires metered connections and master meter or annual pump test with run time. Must show calculating water loss at least quarterly.
- T4 (2) Is the water system overloaded?** Cannot exceed MSDH design capacity, consecutive systems overloaded if supplier overloaded or based on hydraulic calculations or pressure recording.

**T4 (3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing low pressure in any part(s) of the distribution system?** Documented by hydraulics or pressure recording, or verified by operator. Must be documented on inspection report

**T4 (4) Are well pumping tests performed routinely? Must have pump tests at least every two years on all wells that are greater than three (3) years old. OR pump tests every year on wells at systems with design capacity exceeding 80%.**

**T5 Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages?** Credit given for generators, can give credit for emergency tie-ins w/ system w/ generator if hydraulics work, credit given for right angle drive if motor attached during survey, may be required to operate during inspection. Credit given for generator on trailer if quick-connect, systems with elevated storage may share generator on trailer, must have prior agreement.

### **Managerial Capacity**

**M1 Were all SDWA required records maintained in logical and orderly manner and available for review?** In one location, sample results, MSDH correspondence, copy of CCR report, etc.

**M2 (1) Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and available for review?** Must have water users agreement (connection fees, late charges, deposits, [wastewater requirements](#)) and subdivision/line extension policy (written procedure requiring developer/system obtain MSDH approval before construction begins) and either By-laws or Job Description for Employees (employee handbook), plus at least two of the following: Emergency or contingency plan (chain of command, phone numbers, etc.), Flushing program (flushing schedule w/ records), Fire hydrant policy (maintenance schedule, flow tests, agreement w/ fire dept.), or Updated distribution map (can be updated by operator).

**M2 (2) Have all Board Members (in office more than 12 months) completed Board Member Training?** Must have certificate (or copy) available for review. This does not apply to Municipalities with population over 10,000.

**M2 (3) Does Board meet monthly and were minutes of Board meetings available for review?** Allow quarterly meetings with full time manager. Manager must be appointed by the board and documentation of appointment provided.

**M3 Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment?** System and Regional Engineer's records

**M4 Has the water system developed a long range improvement plan and was this plan available for review?** Hydraulic analysis, engineering report, completed State Needs Survey Form or list of goals prepared by operator and adopted by board, can give credit for major improvement project within past 5 years. Plan in use should indicate progress towards improvements.

**M5(1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection program in compliance with MSDH regulations?** Shall include the following: Cross connection policy, records of backflow preventers installed on the system, current test results for each backflow preventer on system.

**M5(2) Was a copy of the MDH approved bacti sample site plan and lead and copper sample site plan available for review and bacti results show site plan is followed?** Copy of sampling site plans available and bacti results show plan is being followed.



**Financial Capacity**

- F1 Has the water system raised water rates in the past 5 years?** Credit also allowed if revenue exceeds expenditures (excluding out of pocket for major improvements and depreciation) by 10% for past five years.
- F2 Does the water system have an official policy requiring rates routinely reviewed and adjusted if necessary?** Must be in minutes showing adopted
- F3 Is the water system following an official cut off policy?** Must be published (in minutes or on bills), must follow policy (cut off customers who by policy should be cut off)
- F4 Was a copy of system's adopted annual budget available for review and does financial accounting system clearly and accurately track receipts and expenditures?** Must provide copy of budget and balance sheet (income statement) for review.
- F5 Is the municipality current in submitting audit reports to State Auditor? Was audit report (Municipal) available for review? Were water and sewer fund accounts separate from other accounts?** List of violators, copy in records, can accept CPA audit report
- F5 1) Has the water system filed financial report with State Auditor and copy available (Rural) for review?** List of violators, copy in records, can accept CPA audit report **2) Does the latest report show that receipts exceed expenditures?** Excluding out of pocket for major improvements

(This page intentionally left blank)



**Mississippi Department of Health  
Bureau of Public Water Supply**

PRIVATE FORM

**FY 2010 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form**

**NOTE:** This form must be completed whenever a routine sanitary survey of a public water system is conducted by a regional engineer of the Bureau of Public Water Supply.

PWS ID#: \_\_\_\_\_ Class: \_\_\_\_\_ Survey Date: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_

Public Water System: \_\_\_\_\_ Conn: \_\_\_\_\_

Certified Waterworks Operator: \_\_\_\_\_ Pop: \_\_\_\_\_

**CAPACITY RATING DETERMINATION**

Technical (T) Capacity Rating: [\_\_\_\_\_] Managerial (M) Capacity Rating [\_\_\_\_\_] Financial (F) Capacity Rating [\_\_\_\_\_]

$$\text{Capacity Rating} = \frac{T + M + F}{3} = \frac{\quad}{3} =$$

**Overall Capacity Rating = \_\_\_\_\_**

Completed by on \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_

Technical Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[T1] 1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly? [Y N] (i.e. Is ph, iron, free chlorine, etc. within acceptable range?) 2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at the time of survey (no significant deficiencies/adequacy of security)? [Y N] (NOTE: Equipment deficiencies must be identified in survey report.) (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[T2] Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years? [Y N NA]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[T3] 1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for the survey? [Y N] 2) Was log book up to date and properly maintained and did it show that MDH minimum job guidelines for W.W. Operators were being met? [Y N] 3) Was the water system properly maintained at time of survey? [Y N] 4) Did operator satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system? [Y N] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[T4] 1) Does water system routinely track water loss and were acceptable water loss records available for review by the regional engineer? [Y N] 2) Is the water system overloaded? [Y N] 3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing pressure problems in any part(s) of the distribution system? [Y N] (based on operator information, customer complaints, MSDH records, other information) (NOTE: YES FOR #1 AND NOs FOR #2 & #3 required to receive point)	1)Y - pt. 2)N - pt. 3)N - pt.	
[T5] Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages?(i.e. generator, emergency tie-ins, etc.) [Y N] (NOTE: Must be documented on survey report)	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
<b>TECHNICAL CAPACITY RATING = [_____] (Total Points)</b>		

Management Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[M1] Were all SDWA required records maintained in a logical and orderly manner and available for review by the regional engineer during the survey? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M2] Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and were these policies available for review during the survey? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M3] Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	N - 1pt. Y - 0pt.	
[M4] Has the water system developed a long range improvements plan and was this plan available for review during the survey? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M5] 1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection program in compliance with MDH regulations? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ] 2) Was a copy of the MDH approved bacti sample site plan and lead and copper sample site plan available for review and bacti results show site plan is followed? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
<b>MANAGEMENT CAPACITY RATING = [ _____ ] (Total Points)</b>		

Financial Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[F1] Does the water system have a PSC issued certificated service area? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F2] Has the water system petitioned PSC for a rate increase within the past five years? (NOTE: Point may be awarded if the water system provides acceptable documentation clearly showing that a rate increase is not needed, i.e., revenue has consistently exceeded expenditures by at least 10%, etc.) [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F3] Does the water system have an officially adopted cut-off policy for customers who do not pay their water bills, was a copy of this policy available for review by the regional engineer, and do system records (cut-off lists, etc.) <u>clearly</u> show that the water system effectively implements this cut-off policy? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F4] Was a copy of the water system's officially adopted annual budget available for review by the regional engineer and does the water system's financial accounting system clearly and accurately track the expenditure and receipt of funds? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[F5] 1) Are annual financial reports routinely filed with the Public Utility Staff and were copies of these reports available for review by the regional engineer at the time of the survey? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ] 2) Does the latest financial report show that system receipts exceed expenditures? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ] (NOTE: Yes answer to both questions required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
<b>FINANCIAL CAPACITY RATING = [ _____ ] (Total Points)</b>		

**Mississippi State Department of Health  
Bureau of Public Water Supply  
Capacity Development Rating Form Assessment Criteria  
01 July 2009 - 30 June 2010**

(NOTE: Items in blue will be assessed beginning July 1, 2010)

**Technical Capacity**

- T1 (1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly?** Corrosion control plants: within +/- 0.5 of target pH (approximately 8.4, Langlier Index, or 7.2-7.8 if adding phosphate for corrosion AND minimum phosphate residual of 0.5 mg/L as P or 1.5 mg/L as PO<sub>4</sub> (most test kits)), Iron removal plants: finished water Fe < 0.3 mg/l, Chlorine: Adequate at plant to provide free residual throughout system, spot checked on system, Systems adjusting Fluoride: 0.7 - 1.3 mg/l
- T1 (2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at the time of survey? (no significant deficiencies/adequacy of security)?** Adequate security: locked fence around wells/treatment plant/tank (6' or 5' + barbed wire at top), locked hatches on water storage tanks (operator verifies), Security Vulnerability Self-Assessment and Emergency Response Plan, both updated annually. Required equipment in place (i.e., phosphate and/or fluoride feeders on all wells if required), major components sized correctly if affects water quality or quantity, major components working at time of inspection unless provisions for repairs made. Must be noted on inspection report. Must have a usable backup source of water.
- T2 Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years?** Maintenance and painting contracts, tank inspection reports, operator can inspect own tank if he/she writes a report and/or takes pictures, painted if needed.
- T3 (1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for survey?** Operator or representative must be present unless emergency; operator of record shouldn't miss two in a row.
- T3 (2) Was log book up to date and properly maintained and did it show that MSDH Minimum JOB Guidelines for W. W. Operators were being met?** Log book: Cl<sub>2</sub> recorded as required, pH, Fe, Fluoride, and phosphate where applicable, major events recorded (fix major leaks, replace chlorine cylinder, equipment repairs, etc.) Part time operator must make required entries in log book to show MSDH MINIMUM JOB GUIDELINES are met. Major events can be recorded separately (work orders).
- T3 (3) Was the water system properly maintained at the time of survey?** Grass cut, packing not leaking excessively, plant presentable, etc.
- T3 (4) Did the operator satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system?** Must have appropriate test kits, fresh reagents, and able to perform tests (where applicable: chlorine, pH, iron, fluoride, phosphate). Regional engineer may perform tests to verify operator's results. Chlorine test must be performed by operator at all inspections.
- T4 (1) Does water system routinely track water loss and were acceptable water loss records available for review by the regional engineer?** Requires metered connections and master meter or annual pump test with run time. Must show calculating water loss at least quarterly.
- T4 (2) Is the water system overloaded?** Cannot exceed MSDH design capacity, consecutive systems overloaded if supplier overloaded or based on hydraulic calculations or pressure recording.

**T4 (3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing low pressure in any part(s) of the distribution system?** Documented by hydraulics or pressure recording, or verified by operator. Must be documented on inspection report

**T4 (4) Are well pumping tests performed routinely? Must have pump tests at least every two years on all wells that are greater than three (3) years old. OR pump tests every year on wells at systems with design capacity exceeding 80%.**

**T5 Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages?** Credit given for generators, can give credit for emergency tie-ins w/ system w/ generator if hydraulics work, credit given for right angle drive if motor attached during survey, may be required to operate during inspection. Credit given for generator on trailer if quick-connect, systems with elevated storage may share generator on trailer, must have prior agreement.

#### **Managerial Capacity**

**M1 Were all SDWA required records maintained in logical and orderly manner and available for review?** In one location, sample results, MSDH correspondence, copy of CCR report, etc.

**M2 (1) Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and available for review?** Must have water users agreement (connection fees, late charges, deposits, [wastewater requirements](#)) and subdivision/line extension policy (written procedure requiring developer/system obtain MSDH approval before construction begins) and either By-laws or Job Description for Employees (employee handbook), plus at least two of the following: Emergency or contingency plan (chain of command, phone numbers, etc.), Flushing program (flushing schedule w/ records), Fire hydrant policy (maintenance schedule, flow tests, agreement w/ fire dept.), or Updated distribution map (can be updated by operator).

**M2 (2) Have all Board Members (in office more than 12 months) completed Board Member Training?** Must have certificate (or copy) available for review. This does not apply to Municipalities with population over 10,000.

**M2 (3) Does Board meet monthly and were minutes of Board meetings available for review?** Allow quarterly meetings with full time manager. Manager must be appointed by the board and documentation of appointment provided.

**M3 Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment?** System and Regional Engineer's records

**M4 Has the water system developed a long range improvement plan and was this plan available for review?** Hydraulic analysis, engineering report, completed State Needs Survey Form or list of goals prepared by operator and adopted by board, can give credit for major improvement project within past 5 years. Plan in use should indicate progress towards improvements.

**M5(1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection program in compliance with MSDH regulations?** Shall include the following: Cross connection policy, records of backflow preventers installed on the system, current test results for each backflow preventer on system.

**M5(2) Was a copy of the MDH approved bacti sample site plan and lead and copper sample site plan available for review and bacti results show site plan is followed?** Copy of sampling site plans available and bacti results show plan is being followed.

#### **Financial Capacity**

**F1 Does the water system have a Certificate of Need and Necessity (certificated service area) issued by PSC?** Copy of tariff or PSC filings

- F2**     **Has the water system petitioned PSC for a rate increase in the past 5 years?** Credit given if the water system provides acceptable documentation clearly showing that receipts consistently exceed expenditures by 10%.
- F3**     **Is the water system following an official cut off policy?** Must be published in tariff or lease agreement, must follow policy (cut off customers who by policy should be cut off).
- F4**     **Was a copy of system's adopted annual budget available for review and does financial accounting system clearly and accurately track receipts and expenditures?** Must provide copy of budget and balance sheet(income statement) for review.
- F5**     **1) Does the water system file annual financial reports with PSC and copy available for review?**  
Must provide copy.  
**2) Does the latest financial report show that receipts exceed expenditures?** Excluding out of pocket for major improvements.

(This page intentionally left blank)





**Mississippi Department of Health  
Bureau of Public Water Supply**

**NON-TRANSIENT, NON-COMMUNITY FORM**

**FY 2010 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form**

**NOTE:** This form must be completed whenever a routine sanitary survey of a public water system is conducted by a regional engineer of the Bureau of Public Water Supply.

PWS ID#: \_\_\_\_\_ Class: \_\_\_\_\_ Survey Date: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_  
Public Water System: \_\_\_\_\_ Conn: \_\_\_\_\_  
Certified Waterworks Operator: \_\_\_\_\_ Pop: \_\_\_\_\_

**CAPACITY RATING DETERMINATION**

Technical (T) Capacity Rating: [\_\_\_\_\_] Managerial (M) Capacity Rating [\_\_\_\_\_]

$$\text{Capacity Rating} = \frac{T + M}{2} = \frac{\quad}{2} = \quad$$

**Overall Capacity Rating = \_\_\_\_\_**

Completed by on \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Technical Capacity Assessment</b>	<b>Point Scale</b>	<b>Point Award</b>
[T1] 1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly? [Y N] (i.e. Is pH, iron, free chlorine, etc. within acceptable range?) 2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at time of survey? (No significant deficiencies/adequacy of security) [Y N] (NOTE: Equipment deficiencies must be identified in survey report.) (NOTE: All YESs or NAs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[T2] Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years? [Y N NA]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[T3] 1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for the survey? [Y N] 2) Was log book up to date and properly maintained and did it show that MDH Minimum Job Guidelines for W.W. Operators were being met? [Y N] 3) Was water system properly maintained at time of survey? [Y N] 4) Did operator satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system? [Y N] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[T4] 1) Does water system routinely track water production and were acceptable water production records available for review by the regional engineer? [Y N] 2) Is water system overloaded? (i.e. serving customers in excess of MDH approved design capacity)? [Y N] 3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing pressure problems in any part(s) of the distribution system? [Y N] (based on operator information, customer complaints, MSDH records, other information) (NOTE: YES for #1 and NOs for #2 & #3 required to receive point)	1)Y - pt. 2)N - pt. 3)N - pt.	
[T5] Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages? (i.e. generator, emergency tie-ins, etc.) [Y N] NOTE: Systems may provide bottled water if included as part of a published emergency plan.	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
<b>TECHNICAL CAPACITY RATING = [_____] (Total Points)</b>		

Public Water System: \_\_\_\_\_ PWS ID #: \_\_\_\_\_  
 FY 2010 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form Survey Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Management Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[M1] Were all SDWA required records maintained in a logical and orderly manner and available for review by the regional engineer during the survey? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M2] Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and were these policies and procedures available for review during the survey? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M3] Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	N - 1pt. Y - 0pt.	
[M4] Has the water system developed a preventive maintenance schedule and was a copy of this schedule available for review during survey? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	
[M5] 1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection control program in compliance with MSDH regulations? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ] 2) Was a copy of the MDH approved bacti sample site plan and lead and copper sample site plan available for review and do bacti results clearly show this approved plan is being used for all bacti monitoring? [ <u>Y</u> <u>N</u> ]	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
MANAGEMENT CAPACITY RATING = [ _____ ] (Total Points)		

**Mississippi State Department of Health  
Bureau of Public Water Supply  
Capacity Development Rating Form Assessment Criteria  
01 July 2009 - 30 June 2010**

**(NOTE: Items in blue will be assessed beginning July 1, 2010)**

**Technical Capacity**

- T1 (1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly?** Corrosion control plants: within +/- 0.5 of target pH (approximately 8.4, Langlier Index, or 7.2-7.8 if adding phosphate for corrosion AND minimum phosphate residual of 0.5 mg/L as P or 1.5 mg/L as PO<sub>4</sub> (most test kits)), Iron removal plants: finished water Fe < 0.3 mg/l, Chlorine: Adequate at plant to provide free residual throughout system, spot checked on system, Systems adjusting Fluoride: 0.7 - 1.3 mg/l
- T1 (2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at the time of survey? (no significant deficiencies/adequacy of security)?** Adequate security: locked fence around wells/treatment plant/tank (6' or 5' + barbed wire at top), locked hatches on water storage tanks (operator verifies), Security Vulnerability Self-Assessment and Emergency Response Plan, both updated annually. Required equipment in place (i.e., phosphate and/or fluoride feeders on all wells if required), major components sized correctly if affects water quality or quantity, major components working at time of inspection unless provisions for repairs made. Must be noted on inspection report. Must have a usable backup source of water.
- T2 Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years?** Maintenance and painting contracts, tank inspection reports, operator can inspect own tank if he/she writes a report and/or takes pictures, painted if needed.
- T3 (1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for survey?** Operator or representative must be present unless emergency; operator of record shouldn't miss two in a row.
- T3 (2) Was log book up to date and properly maintained and did it show that MSDH Minimum JOB Guidelines for W. W. Operators were being met?** Log book: Cl<sub>2</sub> recorded as required, pH, Fe, Fluoride, and phosphate where applicable, major events recorded (fix major leaks, replace chlorine cylinder, equipment repairs, etc.) Part time operator must make required entries in log book to show MSDH MINIMUM JOB GUIDELINES are met. Major events can be recorded separately (work orders).
- T3 (3) Was the water system properly maintained at the time of survey?** Grass cut, packing not leaking excessively, plant presentable, etc.
- T3 (4) Did the operator satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system?** Must have appropriate test kits, fresh reagents, and able to perform tests (where applicable: chlorine, pH, iron, fluoride, phosphate). Regional engineer may perform tests to verify operator's results. Chlorine test must be performed by operator at all inspections.
- T4 (1) Does water system routinely track water production and were acceptable records available for review by the regional engineer?** Requires master meter or annual pump test with run time. Must show calculating water production at least quarterly.
- T4 (2) Is the water system overloaded?** Cannot exceed MSDH design capacity, consecutive systems overloaded if supplier overloaded or based on hydraulic calculations or pressure recording.

**T4 (3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing low pressure in any part(s) of the distribution system?** Documented by hydraulics or pressure recording, or verified by operator. Must be documented on inspection report.

**T4 (4) Are well pumping tests performed routinely? Must have pump tests at least every two years on all wells that are greater than three (3) years old. OR pump tests every year on wells at systems with design capacity exceeding 80%.**

**T5 Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages?** Credit given for generators, can give credit for emergency tie-ins w/ system w/ generator if hydraulics work, credit given for right angle drive if motor attached during survey, may be required to operate during inspection. Credit given for generator on trailer if quick-connect, systems with elevated storage may share generator on trailer, must have prior agreement. Credit not given for renting generator w/o contract. SYSTEM MAY PROVIDE BOTTLED WATER IF INCLUDED AS PART OF A PUBLISHED EMERGENCY PLAN.

#### **Managerial Capacity**

**M1 Were all SDWA required records maintained in logical and orderly manner and available for review?** In one location, sample results, MSDH correspondence, copy of CCR report, etc.

**M2 Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and available for review?** Must have Job Description for Employees associated with potable water system (employee handbook) and Emergency or Contingency plan (chain of command, phone numbers, etc.), plus at least one of the following: Construction policy (written procedure requiring MSDH approval before construction begins), Flushing program (flushing schedule w/records), Fire hydrant policy (maintenance schedule, flow tests, agreement w/fire dept.), or Updated distribution map (can be updated by operator).

**M3 Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment?** System and Regional Engineer's records

**M4 Has the water system developed a preventative maintenance schedule and was this plan available for review?** Maintenance schedule for: wells (including annual pump tests), service pumps, tank inspections, with recommendations and corrective action taken. Documentation must be available for review.

**M5(1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection program in compliance with MSDH regulations?** Shall include the following: Cross connection policy, records of backflow preventers installed on the system, current test results for each backflow preventer on system.

**M5(2) Was a copy of the MSDH approved bacti sample site plan and lead and copper sample site plan available for review and bacti results show site plan is followed?** Copy of sampling site plans available and bacti results show plan is being followed.

**APPENDIX B**

**Capacity Assessment Ratings**

(This page intentionally left blank)

# Mississippi State Department of Health - Bureau of Public Water Supply

## Capacity Assessment Ratings for Public Water Systems in Mississippi

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Adams County													
010002	CITY OF NATCHEZ	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
010005	BROADMOOR UTILITIES, INC	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
010007	OAKLAND WATER WORKS	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.33	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
010009	ADAMS CO W/A #2-SOUTH	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
010010	TESI: BRYANDALE W/A	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.67	0.0	2.0	5.0	2.33	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.33
010013	ADAMS CO W/A #3-PROVIDENCE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
010015	ADAMS CO W/A #4-KAISER LAKE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Alcorn County													
020001	ALCORN W/A #2-BIGGERSVILLE	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00
020002	CITY OF CORINTH	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
020003	FARMINGTON WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
020004	KOSSUTH W/A #1	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
020005	TOWN OF RIENZI	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
020006	ALCORN W/A #1-INDIAN SPRINGS	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00
020007	KOSSUTH W/A #3-PINE MOUNTAIN	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
020008	KOSSUTH W/A #2-BETHLEHEM	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
020014	PRENTISS-ALCORN WATER ASSN	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.33	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
Amite County													
030001	COLES WATER ASSOCIATION #1	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	0.0	5.0	4.0	3.00	0.0	5.0	4.0	3.00
030002	TOWN OF CROSBY	1.0	5.0	5.0	3.67	1.0	5.0	5.0	3.67	0.0	5.0	3.0	2.67
030003	TOWN OF GLOSTER	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
030004	TOWN OF LIBERTY	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
030005	MARY SPRINGS WATER	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
030006	PINE STREET WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.33	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.33	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33
030007	WILK-AMITE W/A #1-SOUTH	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	1.0	5.0	5.0	3.67
030020	COLES COMMUNITY WATER ASSN #2	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00
030021	WILK-AMITE W/A #2-NORTHWEST	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
030024	NORTHEAST AMITE WATER ASSN	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
030026	N CENTRAL AMITE WATER ASSN	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Attala County													
040001	CONEHOMA WATER ASSN #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
040002	TOWN OF ETHEL	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
040003	ETHEL RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00
040004	CITY OF KOSCIUSKO	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
040005	MCADAMS WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	5.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>
040006	TOWN OF MCCOOL	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
040008	POSSUMNECK-CARMACK W/A	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
040009	SUGAR CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
040010	TOWN OF SALLIS	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
040011	ZAMA WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
040012	MISSISSIPPI WATER COMPANY	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>
040027	SPRINGDALE YOUTH CNT HWY 19-N	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
040028	SPRINGDALE YOUTH CNT HWY 12-W	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
040029	CONEHOMA WATER ASSN #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Benton County</b>													
050001	TOWN OF ASHLAND	5.0	2.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
050002	TOWN OF HICKORY FLAT	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
050003	TOWN OF SNOW LAKE SHORES	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
050016	BLACKJACK DEVELOPMENT ASSN	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
050017	NORTH BENTON W/A	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	2.0	<b>3.67</b>
<b>Bolivar County</b>													
060001	TOWN OF ALLIGATOR	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>
060002	TOWN OF BENOIT	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
060003	TOWN OF BEULAH	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
060004	TOWN OF BOYLE	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
060005	DELTA-CHOCTAW W/A #1	2.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>				<b>CON</b>				<b>CON</b>
060006	CITY OF CLEVELAND	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
060007	DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
060008	TOWN OF DUNCAN	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
060009	TOWN OF GUNNISON	1.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	1.0	3.0	<b>1.67</b>
060011	LAMONT WATER CORPORATION	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
060012	TOWN OF MERIGOLD	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
060013	CITY OF MOUND BAYOU	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	4.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
060014	TOWN OF PACE	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
060015	TOWN OF RENOVA	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
060016	CITY OF ROSEDALE	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
060017	SCOTT COMBINED WATER & SEWER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
060018	TOWN OF SHAW	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
060019	CITY OF SHELBY	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
060020	TOWN OF WINSTONVILLE	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	3.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	0.0	3.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>
060035	BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
060042	SYMONDS WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	1.0	1.0	2.0	<b>1.33</b>	1.0	1.0	2.0	<b>1.33</b>
060044	BOYLE-SKENE-BENOIT	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved



PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
060045	DELTA-CHOCTAW W/A #2	3.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
060047	BOYLE-SKENE WATER ASSN	2.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
060048	PORT OF ROSEDALE	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
060049	DEESON-ROUNDLAKE WATER CORP	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
060050	BOYLE-SKENE W/A #2	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
060051	BOYLE-SKENE W/A#3	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
060053	DEESON ROUNDLAKE #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
060054	NORTH BOLIVAR W/A	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Calhoun County</b>													
070002	BIG CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
070003	CITY OF BRUCE	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
070004	CITY OF CALHOUN CITY	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
070005	CROSS-ROADS WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
070006	TOWN OF DERMA	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
070007	DUNCAN HILL WATER SUPPLY	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
070008	MACEDONIA WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
070010	MT COMFORT W/A	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
070011	MT COMFORT W/A-MT MORIAH	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
070012	NEW LIBERTY WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>
070014	MT COMFORT W/A-PARIS	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
070015	TOWN OF PITTSBORO	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	0.0	3.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>
070016	POPLAR SPRINGS W/A #1	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	3.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	0.0	4.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
070017	MT COMFORT W/A-SAREPTA	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
070018	SLATE SPRINGS WATER ASSN	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
070019	TOWN OF VARDAMAN	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
070020	MT COMFORT W/A-BANNER	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
070023	MT COMFORT W/A #6-HWY 331	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
070024	POPLAR SPRINGS W/A #2	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	0.0	3.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	0.0	4.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
<b>Carroll County</b>													
080001	BLACK HAWK WATER ASSN #1	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
080002	TOWN OF CARROLLTON	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
080003	PELUCIA RURAL W/A #2-GRAV HILL	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
080004	PELUCIA RURAL W/A #3-COILA	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
080005	MCCARLEY WATER ASSOCIATION,	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
080006	TOWN OF NORTH CARROLLTON	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
080009	TOWN OF VAIDEN	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
080015	PELUCIA RURAL W/A #4-NEW ZION	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
080017	PELUCIA RURAL W/A #5	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Chickasaw County													
090001	ATLANTA WATER SYSTEM, INC.	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33
090002	EAST CHICKASAW W/A #1	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
090003	TOWN OF NEW HOULKA	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
090004	HOULKA-HOUSTON W/A	0.0	5.0	5.0	3.33	1.0	5.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
090005	CITY OF HOUSTON	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
090007	CITY OF OKOLONA	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.00	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33
090008	SOUTHEAST CHICKASAW W/A #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
090010	SPARTA WATER ASSOCIATION #1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
090011	WOODLAND WATER WORKS #1	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
090012	EAST CHICKASAW W/A #2	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
090023	CCM WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.33	4.0	1.0	4.0	3.00	3.0	1.0	4.0	2.67
090024	THORN WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67
Choctaw County													
100001	TOWN OF ACKERMAN	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00
100002	CHOCTAW WATER ASSN	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67
100003	FENTRESS COMMUNITY W/S	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.67	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.67
100004	FRENCH CAMP W/A #1	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
100006	PAN HANDLE WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	4.0	4.0	3.00	1.0	4.0	5.0	3.33	1.0	4.0	5.0	3.33
100007	REFORM WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.33	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.33	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67
100008	SIMPSON WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
100009	TOWN OF WEIR	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33
100010	FRENCH CAMP ACADEMY	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
100016	PAN HANDLE W/A #2	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
100017	UNION W/A	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00
Claiborne County													
110002	C S & I WATER ASSN #1	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
110003	HERMANVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	1.0	4.0	4.0	3.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
110004	PATTISON W/A-WEST	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
110005	TOWN OF PORT GIBSON	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.33	1.0	4.0	4.0	3.00	0.0	4.0	4.0	2.67
110006	ROMOLA WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
110011	ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
110013	ALCORN STATE UNIVERSITY	3.0	3.0	N/A	3.00	3.0	4.0	N/A	3.50	4.0	2.0	N/A	3.00
110028	REEDTOWN WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
Clarke County													
120004	TOWN OF ENTERPRISE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
120005	HARMONY WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
120006	VILLAGE OF PACHUTA	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
120007	CITY OF QUITMAN	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
120008	TOWN OF SHUBUTA	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
120009	TOWN OF STONEWALL	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
120011	EAST QUITMAN W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
120016	HARMONY WATER ASSOCIATION #4	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
120018	HARMONY WATER ASSOCIATION #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
120027	WAUTUBBEE WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
120028	HARMONY W/A #7-N ENTERPRISE	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Clay County</b>													
130003	SUN CREEK WATER INC-PHEBA	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
130004	SILOAM W/A #1	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
130008	CITY OF WEST POINT	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
130015	SILOAM W/A #2-GRIFFITH WELL	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
130016	SILOAM W/A #3-BEASLEY WELL	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
130017	SILOAM W/A #4-PINE BLUFF	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
130018	GOLDEN TRIANGLE W/A #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
130019	GOLDEN TRIANGLE W/A #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
130020	WHITE STATION WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
130021	SILOAM W/A #5	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
130023	SILOAM W/A #6-UNA SYSTEM	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
130024	SILOAM W/A #7-MULDON	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
130025	SILOAM W/A #8-BEASLEY	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Coahoma County</b>													
140001	BOBO UTILITIES	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	3.0	1.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.00</b>
140002	CLARKSDALE PUBLIC UTILITIES	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
140003	TOWN OF COAHOMA	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
140004	TOWN OF FRIARS POINT	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>
140005	TOWN OF LULA	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
140006	FARRELL UTILITIES	1.0	3.0	1.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	4.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>
140007	GREEN ACRES W/A-NORTH	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
140008	TOWN OF JONESTOWN	2.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
140009	LU-RAND UTILITY DISTRICT	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
140010	TOWN OF LYON	5.0	2.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	2.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
140011	RENA LARA WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	3.0	1.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	3.0	1.0	<b>1.67</b>	2.0	3.0	1.0	<b>2.00</b>
140012	MOORE BAYOU WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
140013	GREEN ACRES W/A-SOUTH	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
140024	MASCOT PLANTING CO	2.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>
140033	COAHOMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
140045	PINE GROVE WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
140046	DAVENPORT WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
140047	WATER ASSOCIATION OF MOON	5.0	2.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
140049	SHERARD WATER ASSN	3.0	1.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>	3.0	1.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>	3.0	1.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
140050	IOC-LULA, INC/ISLE OF CAPRI	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
140051	MOORE BAYOU W/A #2	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
140052	MOORE BAYOU W/A #3	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Copiah County</b>													
150001	COPIAH W/A - BAYOU PIERRE #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
150002	COPIAH W/A - HAZLEHURST	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
150003	CRYSTAL SPRINGS WATER SERVICE	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
150004	COPIAH W/A - GALLMAN	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
150005	TOWN OF GEORGETOWN	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
150006	HARMONY RIDGE WATER ASSN	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
150007	TOWN OF HAZLEHURST	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
150009	COPIAH-NEW ZION WATER ASSN,INC	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
150010	NORTHEAST COPIAH WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
150011	TOWN OF WESSON	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
150018	COPIAH COUNTY INDUSTRIAL PARK	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
150020	COPIAH W/A - BAYOU PIERRE #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
150022	SANDERSON FARMS, INC				<b>NS</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Covington County</b>													
160001	COLD SPRINGS WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
160002	CITY OF COLLINS	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
160003	TOWN OF MOUNT OLIVE	3.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
160004	NORTH COVINGTON W/A-NORTH	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
160005	SALEM WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
160006	TOWN OF SEMINARY	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
160009	SOUTHWEST COVINGTON W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
160010	WILLOW GROVE WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>
160011	NORTH COVINGTON W/A-SOUTH	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
160012	SANDERSON BROS POULTRY	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Desoto County</b>													
170001	BELMONT WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
170002	BRIGHTS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
170005	DAYS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
170006	EUDORA WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
170009	CITY OF HERNANDO	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
170010	HORN LAKE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
170011	LEWISBURG WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
170014	NESBIT WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
170015	CITY OF OLIVE BRANCH	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00
170016	PLEASANT HILL WATER ASSN	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33
170018	CITY OF SOUTHAVEN	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
170019	WALLS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
170020	BUENA VISTA LAKES	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.00
170022	CITY OF HORN LAKE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
170024	DESOTO UTILITY-N HOLLY HILLS	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
170025	DESOTO UTILITY-S TWIN LAKES	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
170027	COUNTRY HAVEN MOBILE HOME	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.00
170028	NORTH MS UTILITIES-CHICK BLUFF	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
170029	NORTH MS UTILITIES-LAKE O HILL	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
170031	MAGNOLIA HILLS MHP	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.33	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.33	1.0	2.0	0.0	1.00
170032	NORTH MS UTILITIES-BRIDGETOWN	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33
170033	KOKO REEF WATER CO	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.67
170043	WALLS WATER ASSN- LAKE FOREST	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
170049	LEWISBURG -INGRAMS MILL NORTH	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
<b>Forrest County</b>													
180001	BARRONTOWN W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180002	CAMP SHELBY TRAINING SITE	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
180003	CARNES WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
180005	DIXIE COMMUNITY UTILITY ASSN.	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180006	EASTABUCHIE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.00
180007	GLENDALE UTILITY DISTRICT	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180008	CITY OF HATTIESBURG	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180009	S MS PUBLIC WATER	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180011	CITY OF PETAL	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180012	RAWLS SPRINGS UTILITY DISTRICT	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180013	SUNRISE UTILITY ASSN INC	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
180014	BROOKLYN WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00
180020	HANCOCK MOBILE HOME PARK	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.33	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.67
180021	BLACK CREEK RETREAT	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.67	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33
180022	S MS PUBLIC WATER AUTH-ROCK	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
<b>Franklin County</b>													
190001	TOWN OF BUDE	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33
190003	TOWN OF MEADVILLE	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67
190004	PROVIDENCE WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
190005	TOWN OF ROXIE	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	1.0	3.0	5.0	3.00
190006	SIXTOWN WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
190008	FCWA - OLDENBURG	0.0	3.0	5.0	2.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON – Consolidation; DIS – System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
190009	FCWA-SOUTH MEADVILLE	0.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
190010	FCWA - BERRYTOWN	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
190014	FCWA - PLEASANT VALLEY	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
190015	FCWA-HAMBURG	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>George County</b>													
200001	COMBINED UTILITIES	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
200003	BEXLEY WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
200004	CITY OF LUCEDALE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
200005	MULTI-MART WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
200006	ROCKY CREEK UTILITY	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
200017	BENNDALE SCHOOL	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Greene County</b>													
210001	BEAT III W/A #1-SAND HILL	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
210002	TOWN OF LEAKESVILLE	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
210003	TOWN OF MCLAIN	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
210004	NEELY UTILITIES	5.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.33</b>
210005	TOWN OF STATE LINE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
210006	LEAF WATER ASSOCIATION-SOUTH	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
210011	BEAT III W/A #3-JONATHAN	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
210012	S E GREENE WATER AUTHORITY	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Grenada County</b>													
220002	G T & Y WATER DISTRICT INC	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
220003	CITY OF GRENADA	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
220004	GRENADA-BOGUE BASIN/HOLCOMB	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
220005	GRENADA CO W/S-GIRL SCOUT	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
220007	GRENADA CO W/S-MONDY RD/ELLIOT	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
220008	POOR HOUSE W/A #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
220013	POOR HOUSE W/A #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
220036	GRENADA IND PK & AIRPORT WATER	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
220062	GRENADA-BOGUE BASIN/GORE SPRGS	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
220064	YOUNGS W/S DIST #1-DIVIDING R	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
220065	YOUNGS W/S DIST #2-YOUNGS SYS	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Hancock County</b>													
230001	CITY OF BAY ST LOUIS	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
230002	CITY OF WAVELAND	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
230003	TESI: CLERMONT HARBOR	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
230004	TESI: OAK HARBOR	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
230005	DIAMONDHEAD UTILITIES-NORTH	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
230007	TESI: JOURDAN RIVER SHORES	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
230012	SCAFIDIS WHEEL INN & TLR PARK	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	2.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
230015	STENNIS SPACE CENTER	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
230016	STENNIS INT AIRPORT & IND PARK	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
230017	PORT BIENVILLE IND PARK	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
230022	SOUTH HANCOCK ELEMENTARY				<b>NS</b>	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
230050	KILN WATER & FIRE PROT DIST	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
230051	TESI: WHITE CYPRESS LAKES	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
230052	APPLIED GEO TECHNOLOGIES INC	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
230057	SUNRISE MOBILE HOME PARK	2.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	2.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	3.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>
230063	STANDARD DEDEAUX WATER	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
230065	HANCOCK COUNTY WATER & SEWER	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Harrison County</b>													
240002	DIBERVILLE W/S	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
240003	CITY OF GULFPORT	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
240005	CITY OF LONG BEACH	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
240008	CITY OF GULFPORT-ORANGE GROVE	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
240009	CITY OF PASS CHRISTIAN	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
240011	SAUCIER UTILITIES	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	1.0	1.0	<b>2.00</b>	4.0	2.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
240026	CEDAR LAKE BILOXI, LLC	1.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>	3.0	3.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>
240027	CHARLOTTE DEV CO-KNOLLWOOD	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	2.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	2.0	2.0	<b>1.67</b>
240033	CITY OF GULFPORT-DEDEAUX	5.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>				<b>CON</b>
240036	CITY OF BILOXI-FRENCH	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
240038	CEDAR LAKE APARTMENTS	1.0	4.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	0.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
240046	BAYOU BERNARD INDUSTRIAL PARK	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
240049	KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
240052	TESI: LAKEWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
240060	NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTAL CTR	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
240064	CITY OF GULFPORT-OAKLEIGH	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>				<b>CON</b>
240066	TESI: PASS CHRISTIAN ISLES	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
240084	CITY OF BILOXI-NORTH	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
240089	TUXACHANIE ESTATES #2	1.0	4.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	4.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	0.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
240135	LIZANA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
240144	DELISLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	2.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	2.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	2.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>
240154	HARRISON CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL	2.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
240164	COAST EPISCOPAL HIGH SCHOOL	2.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	2.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	2.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>
240172	C & R PROPERTIES	2.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	0.0	2.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>
240188	MISS POWER CO-JACK WATSON ELEC	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
240194	RIVERBEND UTILITIES INC	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
240195	PINE HAVEN MOBILE HOME VILLAGE	2.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>	2.0	5.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>
240203	APPLE VALLEY TRAILER PARK	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	0.0	2.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
240212	ROBINWOOD FOREST UTILITY INC	2.0	4.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>
240213	COUNTRY LIVING MOBILE HOME PK	0.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	0.0	5.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	2.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>
240214	DOLANS MOBILE HOME PARK	3.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>	4.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.67</b>	4.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.67</b>
240224	LYMAN UTILITIES	2.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>				<b>CON</b>
240226	TINY TOTS DAYCARE CENTER	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
240232	DUPONT INC-DELISLE PLANT	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
240234	PINEVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
240236	DEERWOOD UTILITIES	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
240237	WOOLMARKET VILLAGE ESTATES	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
240239	HIGHWAY 49 MOBILE HOME PARK	3.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>	3.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
240247	PALMER CREEK UTILITY ASSC, INC	1.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>
240249	BRADFORD PLACE SUBDIVISION	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
240250	DOGWOOD HILLS SUBDIVISION	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.00</b>
240251	RIDGECREST ESTATES	3.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>	3.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>	4.0	3.0	0.0	<b>2.33</b>
240252	HOMESTEAD MOBILE HOME PARK	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
240253	PILOT TRAVEL CENTER #451	2.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	5.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	1.0	4.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>
240254	PINEVILLE PROJECT III SOUTH				<b>NS</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
240255	CITY OF DIBERVILLE-MAGNOLIA	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
240256	THE OAKS UTILITIES	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	1.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>
240258	THE WOODS				<b>NS</b>	3.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>
240259	ST PATRICK HIGH SCHOOL				<b>NS</b>	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>
240261	DELISLE ELEMENTARY #2				<b>NS</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Hinds County</b>													
250001	TOWN OF BOLTON	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
250003	CITY OF CLINTON	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
250004	EASTSIDE WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
250005	TOWN OF EDWARDS	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
250007	HUBBARD WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>
250008	CITY OF JACKSON	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>
250009	NORTH HINDS W/A #4-K&P	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
250011	NORTH HINDS W/A #5-LIMEKILN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
250012	CITY OF JACKSON-MADDOX RD.	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
250014	MT OLIVE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
250015	NORTH HINDS W/A #1-BROWNSVILLE	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
250019	POCAHONTAS WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
250020	CITY OF RAYMOND	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
250021	REEDTOWN WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
250022	SOUTH CENTRAL WATER ASSN	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
250023	SOUTH TERRY WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
250024	ST THOMAS WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved



PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
250025	TOWN OF TERRY	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
250026	TOWN OF UTICA	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>
250028	JACKSON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
250029	NORTH HINDS W/A #2-CHAPEL HILL	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
250034	UNIVERSITY OF MS MEDICAL CNTR	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
250087	FRIENDS OF ALCOHOLICS	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
250093	PORT GIBSON-RATLIFF ROAD W/A	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>				<b>CON</b>				<b>CON</b>
250094	NORTH HINDS W/A #6-SHEPHERD	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
250097	HINDS CO DETENTION CTR	2.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	1.0	2.0	N/A	<b>1.50</b>	2.0	1.0	N/A	<b>1.50</b>
250098	VA HOSPITAL				<b>NS</b>	5.0	3.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Holmes County</b>													
260001	ACONA WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
260003	CASTALIAN W/A	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>
260004	CENTERVILLE COMMUNITY W/A	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
260005	TOWN OF CRUGER	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
260006	CITY OF DURANT	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
260007	EBENEZER RURAL WATER ASSN	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
260008	TOWN OF GOODMAN	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
260009	HARLAND CREEK COMMUNITY W/A-A	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
260010	HOLMES JR COLLEGE	4.0	2.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	3.0	1.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
260011	LEBANON W/A-WEST	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
260012	CITY OF LEXINGTON	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
260013	TOWN OF PICKENS	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
260014	SOUTH HOLMES W/A #1	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
260015	SWEET HOME WATER & SEWER DIST	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
260016	TOWN OF TCHULA	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
260017	TOWN OF WEST	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>
260018	WEST HILL WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
260019	CASTALIAN W/A - SYSTEM B	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>				<b>CON</b>				<b>CON</b>
260021	SOUTH HOLMES W/A-B SYSTEM	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
260022	HARLAND CREEK COMMUNITY W/A-B	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
260027	WEST HOLMES WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
260039	HARLAND CREEK COMMUNITY W/A-C	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
260040	HOLMES INTERSTATE UTILITY DIST	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	0.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
260043	HARLAND CREEK W/A-HORSESHOE	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Humphreys County</b>													
270001	CITY OF BELZONI	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
270002	HUMPHREYS CO. W/A #4-C&M	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
270003	TOWN OF ISOLA	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	4.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
270004	TOWN OF LOUISE	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
270007	TOWN OF SILVER CITY	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	3.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.67</b>	3.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.67</b>
270018	HUMPHREYS CO. W/A #1-MIDNIGHT	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
270019	HUMPHREYS CO. W/A #3-JAKETOWN	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
270020	HUMPHREYS CO. W/A #2-BROOKLYN	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
270021	HUMPHREYS CO W/A #5-WOODYARD	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
270022	HUMPHREYS CO W/A #6-GOODEN LAK	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
270024	HUMPHREYS CO-TCHULA LAKE #7	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
270025	FRESHWATER FARMS	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	2.0	2.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
<b>Issaquena County</b>													
280001	TOWN OF MAYERSVILLE	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
280009	GRACE WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
280017	TALLULA UTILITY DISTRICT	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
280018	VALLEY PARK W/A	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Itawamba County</b>													
290002	DORSEY WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
290003	CITY OF FULTON	4.0	1.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	5.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>
290004	HOUSTON-PALESTINE WATER ASSOC	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
290005	TOWN OF MANTACHIE	1.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
290009	TOMBIGBEE W/A	3.0	0.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	3.0	0.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
290010	TOWN OF TREMONT	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
290016	NE ITAWAMBA W/A #1-RIDGE	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
290017	NE ITAWAMBA W/A #2-SALEM	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
290019	N. E. MS. REGIONAL W/S	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Jackson County</b>													
300002	ESCATAWPA UTILITY DISTRICT	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	1.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
300004	CITY OF GAUTIER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
300005	CITY OF OCEAN SPRINGS	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
300006	CITY OF PASCAGOULA	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
300008	CITY OF MOSS POINT	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
300010	NGSS, INC-INGALLS OPR, WEST BANK	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
300011	CHEVRON USA	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
300012	MISS. PHOSPHATES CORP.	2.0	1.0	N/A	<b>1.50</b>	2.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	1.0	N/A	<b>1.50</b>
300013	JACKSON CO. E PORT AUTHORITY	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
300014	JACKSON CO WEST PORT AUTH.	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
300026	HELENA PARK WATER SYSTEM	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>
300028	PINE GROVE WATER SYSTEM INC	0.0	4.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	0.0	4.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	0.0	2.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>
300032	SEVENTH STREET SUBDIVISION	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>
300033	ST ANDREWS WATER & SEWER, INC	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
300044	TESI:GULF PARK	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
300050	MOCKINGBIRD TRAILER PARK	0.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	2.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>
300052	TESI: LANGLEY PT	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>				<b>CON</b>
300064	COLONIAL ESTATES # 3	0.0	4.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	1.0	5.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>	0.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
300070	E G TAYLOR WATER SYSTEM	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>
300071	MS. POWER CO- DANIEL STEAM PLT	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
300079	BLUFF CREEK MOBILE HOME PARK	2.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	2.0	4.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.00</b>
300080	GULF BREEZE MOBILE HOME PARK	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.00</b>				<b>DIS</b>				<b>DIS</b>
300088	VANCLEAVE SCHOOL	1.0	1.0	N/A	<b>1.00</b>	0.0	2.0	N/A	<b>1.00</b>	2.0	2.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
300091	TESI: BEACH BAYOU	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	2.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>				<b>CON</b>
300095	ORANGE LAKE ELEMENTARY	3.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	3.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
300110	TESI: ROUSES	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
300113	TESI: OCEAN BEACH	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
300143	WESTWICK UTILITY PORTEAUX BAY	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
300146	VANCLEAVE HIGH SCHOOL	0.0	1.0	N/A	<b>0.50</b>	0.0	1.0	N/A	<b>0.50</b>	2.0	2.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
300147	NAVAL STATION-PASCAGOULA	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
300152	KREBS TRAILER PLAZA	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	3.0	3.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>
300154	EAST CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	3.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>
300156	WEST JACKSON CO UTILITY DIST	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
300157	JACKSON COUNTY BARN	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>				
300158	CENTRAL COUNTY BARN	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>				
300160	P.A.C. UTILITY CO, INC.	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
300162	HOUSTON ESTATES UTILITY	1.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	4.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Jasper County</b>													
310001	TALLAHALA W/A-ANTIOCH	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310002	TOWN OF BAY SPRINGS	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
310003	BEAVERDAM W/A-NORTH	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
310004	BEAVER MEADOW WATER ASSN.	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
310005	TOWN OF HEIDELBERG	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
310007	LOUIN WATER WORKS	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310008	MONTROSE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
310009	PAULDING WATER WORKS ASSN	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310010	PHILADELPHIA WATER ASSN	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310011	ROSE HILL WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310012	STRINGER WATER WORKS	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310014	TRI-COUNTY W/A #1-JASPER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310016	TALLAHALA W/A-GARLANDSVILLE	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310019	TALLAHALA W/A-TED CLEAR	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
310024	TRI-COUNTY W/A #3-SUMMERLAND	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
310025	LAKE EDDINS POA WTR & SWR INC	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON – Consolidation; DIS – System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Jefferson County													
320001	CITY OF FAYETTE	1.0	3.0	5.0	3.00	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	1.0	5.0	4.0	3.33
320003	MCNAIR-STAMPLEY W/A #1	1.0	5.0	4.0	3.33	1.0	4.0	5.0	3.33	1.0	5.0	5.0	3.67
320004	UNION CHURCH WATERWORKS ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
320010	MCNAIR-STAMPLEY W/A #2	1.0	5.0	4.0	3.33	1.0	4.0	5.0	3.33	1.0	5.0	5.0	3.67
320013	LORMAN WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
320014	CANNONSBURG-CHURCH HILL W A	1.0	4.0	5.0	3.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
Jefferson Davis County													
330001	TOWN OF BASSFIELD	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
330002	CARSON CENTRAL WATER ASSN	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
330003	DOUBLE PONDS WATER	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
330004	GOOD HOPE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
330005	LILY ROSE W/A #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
330006	LOWLAND UTILITY WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
330007	NORTHEAST JEFF DAVIS W/A	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
330008	TOWN OF PRENTISS	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
330009	LILY ROSE W/A #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
Jones County													
340001	CALHOUN WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340002	ELLISVILLE STATE SCHOOL #1	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
340003	CITY OF ELLISVILLE	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340004	ERATA WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340005	GLADE WATERWORKS ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340006	HATTEN WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.33
340007	J P UTILITY DISTRICT	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340008	MATTHEWS MOSS WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340009	MOSELLE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340010	M & M WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340011	OAK GROVE WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340013	WATER ASSOCIATION OF PINE	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340014	PLEASANT RIDGE W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340015	POWERS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340016	TOWN OF SANDERSVILLE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
340017	SHADY GROVE UTILITY DISTRICT	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
340018	SHARON WATER WORKS	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340019	SOUTHWEST JONES W/A-NORTH	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340020	SOSO COMMUNITY WATER SYS. INC	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340021	CITY OF LAUREL	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
340031	HATTIESBURG-LAUREL REG AIRPORT	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
340032	ELLISVILLE STATE SCHOOL #2	5.0	3.0	N/A	4.00	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON – Consolidation; DIS – System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
340035	SOUTHERN HEN INC	3.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
340036	J P UTILITY DIST #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Kemper County</b>													
350001	TOWN OF DEKALB	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
350002	KIPLING W/A #1	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
350003	NW KEMPER W/A #1-PRESTON	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
350004	TOWN OF SCOوبا	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
350005	TOWNSEND WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
350006	PORTERVILLE W/A	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
350007	NW KEMPER W/A #2- CLEVELAND	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
350008	KIPLING W/A #2	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
350019	KIPLING W/A #3-OLD SCOوبا RD	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
350023	NW KEMPER W/A #3-KYNARD	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
350024	PORTERVILLE W/A-KEMPER SPRINGS	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
350025	NORTH WEST KEMPER W/A #4	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Lafayette County</b>													
360001	ABBEVILLE WATER ASSN				<b>NS</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	2.0	2.0	<b>1.67</b>
360002	ANCHOR WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
360003	CAMPGROUND WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
360004	COLLEGE HILL WATER ASSN				<b>NS</b>				<b>NS</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
360006	EAST OXFORD WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
360007	HARMONTOWN WATER	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
360008	HOPEWELL WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
360009	HURRICANE CREEK WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
360010	LAFAYETTE SPRINGS WATER ASSN	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
360011	CITY OF OXFORD	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
360013	PUNKIN W/A #1	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
360014	TAYLOR WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
360015	UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI	5.0	3.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	2.0	2.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
360016	WESTOVER WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
360017	YOCONA WATER ASSOCIATION, INC.	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
360022	BRITTANY WOODS WATER SYS	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>
360023	COTTAGE POINTE WATER SYSTEM	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>
360024	COLLEGE HILL HEIGHTS W/SYS	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
360027	LAFAYETTE CIVIC CENTER W/S	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
360028	LAKESWAY GARDENS WATER SYS	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
360031	PUNKIN W/A #2-DEER RUN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
360032	THACKER HEIGHTS WATER SYS	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
360035	WESTERN HILLS WATER SYSTEM	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
360036	WEST SPRING HILL WATER SYS	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
360038	ROSEBURG FOREST PRODUCTS	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
360039	OLIN WINCHESTER-OXFORD	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
360048	TURNER SPRINGS WATER ASSN				<b>NS</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>
360051	DENMARK WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
360060	PINE CREEK COVE APTS.	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>
360062	QUAIL CREEK WATER SYSTEM	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
360063	HURRICANE HILLS W/A	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>
360064	SANDERS WATER ASSOCIATION, INC	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
360066	WOODLAND HILLS WATER SYSTEM	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>				<b>CON</b>				<b>CON</b>
360068	CRESCENT UTILITY CO, INC	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>
<b>Lamar County</b>													
370001	ARNOLD LINE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
370004	LAMAR PARK WATER & SEWAGE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
370005	CITY OF LUMBERTON	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
370006	NORTH LAMAR WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
370007	NORTH LUMBERTON UTILITY ASSN	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
370008	PROGRESS COMM WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
370009	CITY OF PURVIS	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
370010	TOWN OF SUMRALL	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
370011	WEST LAMAR WATER ASSN #1	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
370014	R D MORROW POWER PLANT	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
370016	CANEBRAKE UTILITY ASSOC INC	2.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	2.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>
370018	BILLS TRAILER PARK	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
<b>Lauderdale County</b>													
380001	CLARKDALE WATER ASSN # 1	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
380002	COLLINSVILLE WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
380004	LONG CREEK WATER ASSN #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
380005	CITY OF MERIDIAN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
380006	NORTH LAUDERDALE W/A, INC	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
380008	RUSSELL UTILITIES INC	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
380009	TOOMSUBA WATER SYSTEM	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
380026	MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
380028	NTS UTILITY ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
380101	TOWN OF MARION	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
380106	LONG CREEK WATER ASSN #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
380117	SOUTHWEST LAUDERDALE W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
380128	LONG CREEK W/A (WHYNOT)	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
380129	LENOIR TRAILER PARK	1.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>				<b>DIS</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Lawrence County													
390001	JAYESS-TOPEKA-TILTON W/A	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
390002	LAWRENCE COUNTY WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
390003	TOWN OF MONTICELLO	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
390004	TOWN OF NEW HEBRON	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
390005	TOWN OF SILVER CREEK	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
390006	SONTAG-WANILLA	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67
390007	CROOKED CREEK W/A - NORTH	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
390008	CROOKED CREEK W/A - SOUTH	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
390011	GEORGIA-PACIFIC	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
390015	MT ZION W/A	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Leake County													
400001	CITY OF CARTHAGE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
400002	EDINBURG WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
400003	FREENY W/A #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
400004	GOSHEN WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
400005	LENA WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	3.0	4.0	2.67	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00
400006	NEW PROVIDENCE WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
400007	PILGRIM REST WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
400008	SOUTHWEST LEAKE WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67
400009	THOMASTOWN WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
400011	TOWN OF WALNUT GROVE	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
400015	TYSON FOODS #1	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
400016	MARYDELL WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
400018	FREENY W/A #2-ROSEBUD SYSTEM	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Lee County													
410001	MOOREVILLE RICHMOND W/A #4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
410002	BREWER WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.33	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.33	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.67
410004	CITY POINT WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
410006	TOWN OF GUNTOWN	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
410007	MOOREVILLE-RICHMOND W/A #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
410008	CITY OF NETTLETON	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
410011	TOWN OF PLANTERSVILLE	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
410012	TOWN OF SALTILLO	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
410013	TOWN OF SHANNON	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.67	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
410015	CITY OF TUPELO	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.00	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00
410016	CITY OF VERONA	3.0	2.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	2.0	5.0	3.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00
410018	PALMETTO W/A-SOUTH	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.00
410022	NORTH LEE W/A-LAKE PIOMINGO	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.67
410023	NATCHEZ TRACE PARKWAY	3.0	2.0	N/A	2.50	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
410024	NORTH LEE W/A #1-BARNES CROSN	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
410025	NORTH LEE W/A #2-BIRMINGHAM RD	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
410027	NORTH LEE W/A #3-CEDAR HILL	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
410029	TURNER PARK WATER & SEWER	4.0	1.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	3.0	2.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	3.0	2.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>
410031	CITY OF TUPELO-INDIAN HILLS	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>				<b>CON</b>				<b>CON</b>
410032	MOOREVILLE-RICHMOND W/A #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
410033	OLD UNION WATER ASSN.	1.0	1.0	3.0	<b>1.67</b>	1.0	1.0	2.0	<b>1.33</b>	2.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
410035	NORTH LEE W/A #4-MACEDONIA	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
410037	CITY OF SALTILLO-WESTWOOD	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
410038	BURLESON MOBILE HOME PARK	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>
410039	MOOREVILLE RICHMOND #3	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
410040	NORTH LEE W/A #5-RED HILL	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Leflore County</b>													
420001	CITY OF GREENWOOD	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
420002	CITY OF ITTA BENA	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
420003	MS VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	5.0	3.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
420004	MORGAN CITY WATER & SEWER	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
420005	CITY OF SCHLATER	2.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.67</b>	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>
420006	TOWN OF SIDON	3.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>
420008	CHAPMAN S/D-NORTH-DELTA DRILNG	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
420009	CHAPMAN S/D-SOUTH-DELTA DRILNG	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
420010	EAST LEFLORE WATER & SEWER	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
420014	FREDRICK S/D-DELTA DRILLING	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>	0.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
420020	DELTA MOBILE HOME PK & APT	3.0	3.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>	3.0	3.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>	3.0	3.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>
420022	CITY OF SCHLATER-(P D PLANT)	3.0	3.0	0.0	<b>2.00</b>	3.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>
420035	MINTER CITY WATER & SEWER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
420040	PHILLIPSTON WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
420041	BLUE LAKE WATER ASSN. INC	4.0	1.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
420042	HEARTLAND CATFISH	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
420043	AMERICA'S CATCH CATFISH PLANT	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
420044	VIKING SPECIALTY PRODUCTS	2.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	1.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
420045	AMERICAS CATCH-THE FARM	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Lincoln County</b>													
430001	BOGUE CHITTO WATER	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
430002	CITY OF BROOKHAVEN	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
430003	LINCOLN RURAL W/A-PLEASANT RID	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
430027	LINCOLN RURAL W/A-BEAUREGARD	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
430028	LINCOLN RURAL W/A-BRIGNAL	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
430029	TOPISAW CREEK	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
430030	LINCOLN RURAL W/A-HEUCKS RET	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved



PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
430031	LINCOLN RURAL W/A-OLD RED STAR	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
430032	LINCOLN RURAL W/A-ZETUS	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Lowndes County</b>													
440001	TOWN OF ARTESIA	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
440002	TOWN OF CALEDONIA	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
440003	COLUMBUS LIGHT & WATER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
440004	TOWN OF CRAWFORD	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
440005	EAST LOWNDES W/A #1-LEE STOKES	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
440008	EAST MS COMM COLLEGE-GOLDEN	3.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
440013	AIRBASE TRAILER PARK	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
440014	AIRBASE VILLAGE	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
440018	COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
440019	COUNTRY COURTS	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
440041	HOLCIM (US) INC WATER SYSTEM	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>				<b>DIS</b>
440079	SHERIFF BOYS RANCH	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>				<b>DIS</b>				<b>DIS</b>
440080	EAST LOWNDES W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
440081	EAST LOWNDES W/A #3-OLD	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
440090	WEYERHAEUSER WELL # 1	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
440096	PRAIRIE LAND WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
440097	SOUTH LOWNDES W/A	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>
440098	GOLDEN TRIANGLE IND. PARK	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
440100	EAST LOWNDES #4-HERMAN-VAUGHN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
440101	EAST LOWNDES W/A-#5	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>				<b>CON</b>
440102	THE LANDINGS AT COLUMBUS				<b>NS</b>				<b>NS</b>	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
<b>Madison County</b>													
450002	BEAR CREEK W/A-EAST	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
450003	BIG BLACK WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
450004	BIG BLACK W/A-CAMDEN	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
450005	CAMERON COMMUNITY WATER	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
450006	CITY OF CANTON	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
450007	EAST MADISON WATER ASSN-WEST	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
450008	TOWN OF FLORA #1	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
450009	LIVINGSTON ROAD WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
450010	CITY OF MADISON	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
450013	CITY OF RIDGELAND	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
450014	TOUGALOO COLLEGE	3.0	1.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
450016	WEST MADISON UTL DIST	1.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
450017	LAKE LORMAN UTL DISTRICT	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
450019	PRVWSD-MAIN HARBOR	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
450021	BEAR CREEK W/A-WEST	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
450024	PRVWSD-TWIN HARBOR	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
450032	CANTON MUN UTL	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
450034	CMU-LAKE CAROLINE SOUTH	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
450035	CMU-LAKE CAROLINE NORTH	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Marion County</b>													
460001	BUNKER HILL WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
460002	CEDAR GROVE-HARMONY W/A	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
460003	CITY OF COLUMBIA	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
460004	COMMUNITY WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
460005	FOXWORTH WATER & SEWERAGE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
460006	GOSS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
460007	HIGHWAY 98 EAST WATER ASSN.	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
460008	HUB WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
460009	LAMPTON WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
460010	MS DEPT OF YOUTH SERVICES	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	2.0	0.0	N/A	<b>1.00</b>	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>
460011	MORGANTOWN WATER	2.0	4.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>
460012	MT GILEAD-IMPROVE WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
460013	WEST MARION WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
460014	KOKOMO-SHILOH WATER ASSN.	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Marshall County</b>													
470001	TOWN OF BYHALIA	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	1.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
470002	CITY OF HOLLY SPRINGS	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
470004	TOWN OF POTTS CAMP	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
470069	SOUTH VICTORIA	3.0	5.0	2.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	5.0	2.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	5.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>
470070	WARSAW WATER SYSTEM	3.0	5.0	1.0	<b>3.00</b>				<b>DIS</b>				<b>DIS</b>
470084	GALENA SCHOOL	3.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	2.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
470088	KNOWLEDGE GIBSON HEAD START	2.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	2.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	2.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>
470091	H. W. BYERS HIGH SCHOOL	2.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.00</b>
470104	PICKARDS WATER ASSN.	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
470105	MARSHALL CO WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
470106	BCM WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Monroe County</b>													
480001	TOWN OF ABERDEEN	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
480002	CITY OF AMORY	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
480004	COONTAIL WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
480005	VILLAGE OF GATTMAN	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
480007	HAMILTON WATER DISTRICT	1.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
480008	TOWN OF HATLEY	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
480011	QUINCY WATER ASSOCIATION #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
480012	TOWN OF SMITHVILLE	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
480013	WREN W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
480016	QUINCY WATER ASSOCIATION #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
480017	GAINES-TRACE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
480019	CASON WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
480021	TRONOX	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Montgomery County</b>													
490001	51-55 WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>
490002	TOWN OF DUCK HILL	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
490003	ESKRIDGE-ROSE HILL W/A	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490004	HAYS CREEK W/A-MISSION ROAD	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490005	TOWN OF KILMICHAEL	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490006	NORTH DISTRICT 1 WATER ASSN.	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
490007	POPLAR CREEK WATER	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
490008	SOUTH WINONA WATER	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
490009	STEWART WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490010	CITY OF WINONA	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
490016	HAYS CREEK W/A-MINERVA	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
490017	HAYS CREEK W/A-NEW LIBERTY	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490018	HAYS CREEK W/A-LEGION LAKE RD	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490019	HAYS CREEK W/A-LODI	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490020	HAYS CREEK W/A-ALVA	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490022	STEWART WATER ASSC #2	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
490023	HAYS CREEK W/A-MINERVA #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Neshoba County</b>													
500001	CENTRAL W/A-ARLINGTON	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
500002	CENTRAL W/A-#1	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
500004	CENTRAL W/A-EAST SIDE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
500005	CENTRAL W/A-HOUSE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
500006	CENTRAL W/A-KENTAWKA VALLEY	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
500007	CENTRAL W/A-NORTH PEARL RIVER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
500008	CITY OF PHILADELPHIA	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
500009	CENTRAL W/A-SOUTHWEST	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Newton County</b>													
510001	BEULAH HUBBARD WATER ASSN	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
510002	TOWN OF CHUNKY	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
510004	TOWN OF DECATUR	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
510005	DUFFEE WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
510006	TOWN OF HICKORY	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
510009	CITY OF NEWTON	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
510010	SOUTH NEWTON RURAL W/A #1	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
510011	TOWN OF UNION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
510012	NORTH DECATUR W/A #1	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
510019	SOUTH NEWTON RURAL W/A #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
510022	SOUTH NEWTON RURAL W/A #4	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Noxubee County</b>													
520001	TOWN OF BROOKSVILLE	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
520004	MACON ELECTRIC & WATER DEPT.	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
520005	MASHULAVILLE WATER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
520006	PINEY WOODS WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
520008	TOWN OF SHUQUALAK	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	2.0	1.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
520023	PARKS UTILITIES	4.0	2.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
520024	SHUQUALAK-BUTLER W/A	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
520026	BARGE FOREST PRODUCTS	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
<b>Oktibbeha County</b>													
530001	ADATON W/A #1-JOSEY CREEK	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
530002	BLACK JACK WATER ASSN #1	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
530003	BLUEFIELD WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
530004	BRADLEY WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
530005	CENTER GROVE W/A #1	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
530006	CLAYTON VILLAGE W/A #1-EAST	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>				<b>NS</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
530008	DOUBLE SPRINGS WATER ASSN	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
530009	LONGVIEW WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
530010	TOWN OF MABEN	2.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
530011	MHOON FARM WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>				<b>CON</b>
530012	MS STATE UNIVERSITY	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
530013	MORGAN CHAPEL WATER ASSN	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
530014	OKTOC WATER ASSOCIATION #1	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
530015	OLD WEST POINT ROAD WATER	4.0	1.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>				<b>DIS</b>				<b>DIS</b>
530017	ROCK HILL WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
530018	CHAPEL HILL-PLEASANT GROVE W/A	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
530019	SESSUMS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
530020	CITY OF STARKVILLE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
530021	TOWN OF STURGIS	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
530022	TALKING WARRIOR WATER ASSN #1	0.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
530023	TRIMCANE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
530024	TURKEY CREEK WATER ASSN.	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
530025	WAKE FOREST WATER ASSN	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
530036	CLAYTON VILLAGE-UNIV HEIGHTS	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
530039	NEW LIGHT WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
530041	MORRILL ROAD WATER	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
530042	SOUTHSIDE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
530044	EAST LEE BLVD WATER ASSN.	5.0	2.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
530047	CENTER GROVE W/A #2	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Panola County</b>													
540001	ASL WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
540002	CITY OF BATESVILLE	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540003	LOVE JOY WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540004	TOWN OF COMO	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.33</b>
540005	TOWN OF CRENSHAW	3.0	2.0	1.0	<b>2.00</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.67</b>
540006	ENON-LOCKE-CURTIS WATER ASSN	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
540008	HEBRON WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
540009	HOTOPHIA WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
540011	INDEPENDENCE WATER	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
540012	LIBERTY HILL WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>
540013	MT OLIVET WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
540015	PANOLA-UNION W/A	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540016	PLEASANT GROVE WATER ASSN	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
540017	POPE-COURTLAND WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540018	CITY OF SARDIS	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540021	CHICKASAW HILLS SUBDIVISION				<b>NS</b>	2.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540023	EUREKA WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
540025	ENID SHORES DEV WATER COMPANY				<b>NS</b>	2.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
540029	HIDE-A-WAY HILLS WATER COMPANY				<b>NS</b>	1.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
540032	PLUM POINT WATER SYSTEM	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	4.0	1.0	<b>2.00</b>
540035	WALNUT HILLS WATER	4.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>
540063	SARDIS LAKE COMMUNITY W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540067	CONCORD-MACEDONIA W/A	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
540069	POPE-COURTLAND W/A-NORTH	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
540072	NORTH PANOLA WATER DISTRICT	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
<b>Pearl River County</b>													
550001	CENTER W/A-CAESAR SYSTEM	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
550002	PEARL RIVER CENTRAL W/A-NORTH	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
550004	PICAYUNE UTILITIES, CITY OF	3.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
550005	PEARL RIVER CENTRAL W/A	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
550006	TOWN OF POPLARVILLE	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
550008	SUNNY OAKS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
550012	WESTCHESTER-DIXIE UTILITIES, INC	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	1.0	1.0	4.0	<b>2.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
550013	HIDE-A-WAY LAKE WATER SYSTEM	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
550041	NICHOLSON WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
550045	CENTER W/A-PROGRESS SYSTEM	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
550050	LIBERTY ROAD TRAILER PARK	0.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	1.0	2.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	0.0	3.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>
550052	PEARL RIVER CENTRAL W/A LAKE	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>				<b>CON</b>				<b>CON</b>
550054	TIMBERLANE-VIRGINIA MANOR	1.0	1.0	0.0	<b>0.67</b>	1.0	1.0	4.0	<b>2.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
550057	SPRING HILL WATER ASSN.	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
550058	PEARL RIVER CENTRAL W/A-BI CO	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
550060	PEARL RIVER CENTRAL W/A-HENLEY	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
550061	PEARL RIVER COUNTY UTILITY				<b>NS</b>				<b>NS</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Perry County</b>													
560001	TOWN OF BEAUMONT	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
560002	TOWN OF NEW AUGUSTA	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
560003	N E PERRY UTL ASSN-#1	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
560004	TOWN OF RICHTON	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
560005	RUNNELSTOWN-NORTH	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
560006	ARLINGTON W/A	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
560007	JANICE W/A #1	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
560008	JANICE W/A #2-SOUTH	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
560012	GEORGIA PACIFIC SAWMILL	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>				<b>DIS</b>
560013	LEAF RIVER CELLULOSE, LLC	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
560014	ARLINGTON W/A-HINTONVILLE	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
560015	LITTLE CREEK WATER ASSN	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
<b>Pike County</b>													
570001	FERNWOOD WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
570002	FRIENDSHIP COMMUNITY W/A	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
570003	HOMESTEAD WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
570004	CITY OF MCCOMB	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
570005	CITY OF MAGNOLIA	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
570008	NORTH PIKE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
570009	NORTH STREET WATER	0.0	3.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	2.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>
570010	TOWN OF OSYKA	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
570011	SW MS COMM COLLEGE	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>
570013	TOWN OF SUMMIT	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
570014	SUNNYHILL WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
570015	MAGNOLIA RURAL WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
570039	FERNWOOD INDUSTRIAL WATER	1.0	1.0	N/A	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	1.0	N/A	<b>1.00</b>	1.0	1.0	N/A	<b>1.00</b>
570048	ST MARY OF THE PINES	4.0	2.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>	4.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>
570050	SANDERSON FARMS	5.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.50</b>	4.0	4.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	N/A	<b>5.00</b>
570051	EAST PIKE WATER ASSN.	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Pontotoc County</b>													
580001	ALGOMA WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
580002	EAST PONTOTOC WATER ASSN	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
580003	TOWN OF ECRU	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
580004	OAK HILL WATER ASSN #1	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
580006	CITY OF PONTOTOC	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
580007	RANDOLPH WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
580008	TOWN OF SHERMAN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
580009	TOCCOPOLA WATER ASSOCIATION #1	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
580010	TROY WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
580017	TOCCOPOLA WATER ASSOCIATION #2	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
580020	MUD CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION #2	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
580021	MUD CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION #1	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
580023	HOULKA-WASHINGTON EXT	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
580024	OAK HILL WATER ASSN #2	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Prentiss County</b>													
590001	TOWN OF BALDWIN	2.0	1.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	1.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
590002	BIG V WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	2.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	5.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
590003	BLACKLAND WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	0.0	2.0	<b>1.33</b>	3.0	0.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
590004	BOONEVILLE WATER DEPT.	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
590007	HOLCUT-CAIRO WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
590008	INGRAM WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	1.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
590009	TOWN OF JUMPERTOWN	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
590010	TOWN OF MARIETTA	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
590011	NEW CANDLER WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
590013	THRASHER WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0.33</b>	0.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	0.0	0.0	1.0	<b>0.33</b>
590014	WHEELER-FRANKSTOWN WATER	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
590018	NEW SITE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Quitman County</b>													
600002	BIG FIELD WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
600003	TOWN OF CROWDER	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
600004	DARLING WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
600005	TOWN OF FALCON	1.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.33</b>
600006	TOWN OF LAMBERT	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
600007	CITY OF MARKS	2.0	1.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	1.0	5.0	<b>2.67</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>
600008	TOWN OF SLEDGE	2.0	4.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
600009	NORFLEET UTILITIES, INC	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	3.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.00</b>
600010	SOUTH QUITMAN-S LAMBERT UTL	3.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.33</b>	3.0	0.0	1.0	<b>1.33</b>	3.0	1.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
600012	SOUTH LAKE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
600013	SOUTH QUITMAN-N TUTWILER UTL	2.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	2.0	1.0	0.0	<b>1.00</b>	2.0	1.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>
600016	WEST LAMBERT WATER	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>
600017	BIRDIE WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	2.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
600018	SOUTH QUITMAN-WEST CROWDER	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.00	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.00	3.0	1.0	4.0	2.67
<b>Rankin County</b>													
610001	ACL WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610003	CITY OF BRANDON	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610007	EVERGREEN WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67
610008	FANNIN WATER ASSN-NORTH	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610009	TOWN OF FLORENCE	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610011	GREENFIELD WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67
610012	LANGFORD WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610013	LEESBURG WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610015	PINEY WOODS COUNTRY LIFE SCH	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610016	MONTEREY WATER ASSN-WEST	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610017	CITY OF PEARL	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610018	TOWN OF PELAHATCHIE	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610019	PISGAH WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
610021	TOWN OF PUCKETT	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610022	CLEARY WATER SEWER & FIRE DEPT	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610023	CITY OF RICHLAND	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610024	SOUTHERN RANKIN W/A #2 PLAIN	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.33
610025	SE RANKIN WATER ASSN-JOHNS	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00
610026	SW RANKIN WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
610027	STAR WATER COMPANY	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610028	TAYLORSVILLE W/A #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610029	THOMASVILLE W/A #1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
610030	UNION WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00
610032	MS STATE HOSPITAL-WHITFIELD	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
610035	PRVWSD-HIGHWAY 43	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610036	PRVWSD-PELAHATCHIE BAY	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610038	FIVE LAKES WATER SYSTEM	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610040	SW RANKIN WATER ASSOCIATION #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610041	ACL WATER ASSOCIATION #2	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610044	NORANCO UTILITIES	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33
610049	SE RANKIN WATER ASSN-CATO	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00
610075	CITY OF FLOWOOD	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610081	CENTRAL RANKIN WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
610086	THOMASVILLE W/A #2	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
610089	CENTRAL MISS CORR FACILITY	5.0	2.0	N/A	3.50	4.0	3.0	N/A	3.50	4.0	3.0	N/A	3.50
610090	TAYLORSVILLE W/A #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
610094	GULFLINE INDUSTRIAL PARK	5.0	2.0	N/A	3.50	5.0	2.0	N/A	3.50	5.0	3.0	N/A	4.00
610097	HUDSPETH CENTER	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved



PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Scott County													
620001	C & C W/A	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
620002	CITY OF FOREST	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
620003	H & H WATER SYSTEM, INC	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
620004	HIGH HILL WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67
620005	HOMESTEAD WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
620006	HOMWOOD WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
620007	L & F WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67
620008	LAKE WATER WORKS	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00
620009	CITY OF MORTON	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
620010	SEBASTOPOL WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	0.0	5.0	5.0	3.33
620011	STEELE-RINGGOLD W/A #1	4.0	2.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
620017	KOCH FOODS OF MISSISSIPPI, LLC	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
620019	RAYTHEON COMPANY	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
620023	STEELE-RINGGOLD W/A #2	5.0	2.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
Sharkey County													
630001	TOWN OF ANGUILLA	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
630002	TOWN OF CARY	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
630003	DELTA CITY WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00
630004	CITY OF ROLLING FORK	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
630007	TRUELIGHT REDEVELOPMENT GROUP	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00
630036	LAMENSDORF SUBDIVISION	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.33
630037	TRUELIGHT REDEVELOPMENT GRP #2	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
Simpson County													
640001	BOGGAN RIDGE W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
640002	TOWN OF BRAXTON	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
640003	TOWN OF DLO	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
640004	HARRISVILLE W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
640005	HIGHWAY 28 WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
640006	CITY OF MAGEE	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00
640007	CITY OF MENDENHALL	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
640008	NEW HOPE WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
640009	OKATOMA WATER ASSOCIATION #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
640011	BOGGAN RIDGE W/A-PINE GROVE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
640012	POPLAR SPRINGS WATER DISTRICT	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.00	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.00	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67
640013	BOSWELL REGIONAL CENTER	4.0	4.0	N/A	4.00	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50
640014	SMITHS CROSSING WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
640019	HOWARD INDUSTRIES	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	4.0	N/A	4.50
640021	SHIVERS WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
640022	OKATOMA WATER ASSOCIATION #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Smith County													
650001	CENTER RIDGE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
650003	LORENA-LEMON-BURNS WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
650004	TOWN OF MIZE	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
650005	MORRIS WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33
650006	PINEVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
650007	POLKVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
650008	CITY OF RALEIGH	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67
650010	SYLVARENA WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
650011	TOWN OF TAYLORSVILLE	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
650012	TRAXLER WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
650013	WHITE OAK WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
650017	PINEVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION #3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
650018	PINEVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION #2	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Stone County													
660002	MCHENRY UTILITY ASSN, INC	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
660003	MS GULF COAST COMMUNITY	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
660004	NEW ZION UTILITIES, INC	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00
660005	CITY OF WIGGINS	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.00	2.0	1.0	5.0	2.67
660020	FLINT CREEK UTILITY ASSN	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.67	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
660021	STONE UTILITY ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
660022	SUNFLOWER UTILITY ASSC INC	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
660024	CARNES WATER ASSOCIATION #2				NS				NS	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Sunflower County													
670001	BIG YEAGER WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.00	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.67	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67
670003	TOWN OF DODDSVILLE	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.33
670004	TOWN OF DREW	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.67	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.00	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00
670005	FMH WATER ASSOCIATION #1	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.67	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33
670006	CITY OF INDIANOLA	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.67	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.33	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.00
670007	TOWN OF INVERNESS	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
670008	TOWN OF MOORHEAD	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.00	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.00
670010	ROME WATER SYSTEM	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.00	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.00	1.0	5.0	2.0	2.67
670011	CITY OF RULEVILLE	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.33
670012	TOWN OF SUNFLOWER	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
670013	SO SUNFLOWER W/A-INDIANOLA	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.33	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.33	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.67
670014	MS STATE PENITENTIARY-MN LN	3.0	1.0	N/A	2.00	4.0	3.0	N/A	3.50	2.0	2.0	N/A	2.00
670015	SO. SUNFLOWER W/A-INVERNESS	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.33	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.33	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.67
670038	SUNFLOWER WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.67
670039	ALLEN CANNING PLANT	4.0	4.0	N/A	4.00	4.0	3.0	N/A	3.50	4.0	3.0	N/A	3.50
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON – Consolidation; DIS – System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Tallahatchie County													
680001	CASCILLA WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	4.0	5.0	3.33	1.0	3.0	5.0	3.00	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.33
680002	CHARLESTON UTILITIES	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.00	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00
680004	EAST CHARLESTON WATER ASSN	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.33	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.67	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.67
680005	VILLAGE OF GLENDORA	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.00	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.67	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.00
680007	NORTH TALLAHATCHIE W/A	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	1.0	4.0	4.0	3.00
680008	PAYNES WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.33	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.00	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.33
680010	TOWN OF TUTWILER	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00
680011	TOWN OF SUMNER	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
680012	TOWN OF WEBB	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.67	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00
680013	WEST TALLAHATCHIE UTL ASSN	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.67	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.67	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.67
680019	W TALLAHATCHIE - HWY S/D	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.67	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.33	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.00
680032	BRAZIL-SUMNER WATER ASSN.	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
680033	PHILIPP WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.00	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.00	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.67
680034	SOUTH QUITMAN-EAST TUTWILER	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.00	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.00	2.0	1.0	4.0	2.33
680035	SOUTH QUITMAN-SOUTH TUTWILER	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.00	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.00	2.0	1.0	4.0	2.33
680037	BLUE CANE, COWART & TIPPO W/A.	4.0	2.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	2.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.00
Tate County													
690001	ARKABUTLA WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	0.0	4.0	1.0	1.67
690002	TOWN OF COLDWATER	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.33
690003	COTTONVILLE-SAVAGE W/A INC.	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.67
690004	LOOXAHOMA WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
690005	CITY OF SENATOBIA	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
690006	STRAYHORN W/A-CROCKETT	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
690007	STRAYHORN W/A-TRUSLOW	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
690008	OAKDALE ESTATES & LAKE SUENTE	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	0.0	3.0	2.0	1.67
690009	BACK ACRES	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.00
690012	SENATOBIA LAKES ESTATES, INC	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.67	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.67	0.0	4.0	1.0	1.67
690017	EAST TATE ELEMENTARY	1.0	3.0	N/A	2.00	2.0	3.0	N/A	2.50	1.0	1.0	N/A	1.00
690018	INDEPENDENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL	1.0	3.0	N/A	2.00	1.0	3.0	N/A	2.00	1.0	1.0	N/A	1.00
690047	CRESTFIELD WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.67
Tippah County													
700001	TOWN OF BLUE MOUNTAIN	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.33
700003	CHALYBEATE WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
700005	TOWN OF FALKNER	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
700006	MITCHELL WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.67	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.67
700008	CITY OF RIPLEY	1.0	3.0	4.0	2.67	1.0	4.0	5.0	3.33	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
700009	SPOUT SPRINGS W/A #1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.00	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.00	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.00
700010	TIPLERSVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	2.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
700011	TOWN OF WALNUT	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
700012	DUMAS-PINE GROVE WATER ASSN	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
700014	THREE FORKS WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
700015	LAKE MOHAWK ESTATES WATER	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
700021	SHADY GROVE WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.67</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>
700022	SPOUT SPRINGS W/A #2	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.00</b>	3.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
<b>Tishomingo County</b>													
710001	TOWN OF BELMONT	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
710002	TOWN OF BURNSVILLE	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
710003	DENNIS WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
710004	TISHOMINGO CO WATER DISTRICT	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
710005	TOWN OF GOLDEN WATER DEPT	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
710006	CITY OF IUKA	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
710008	SHORT-COLEMAN PARK WATER	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
710010	TOWN OF TISHOMINGO	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
710011	WALKER SWITCH WATER ASSN	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>
710022	SHORT-COLEMAN PARK WATER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
710029	SHORT COLEMAN PARK-NASA PLANT	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Tunica County</b>													
720004	TOWN OF TUNICA	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
720021	PRIDE OF THE POND	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>
720022	CHARLIES CAMP WATER ASSN	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>
720024	TUNICA CO UTL DST-RIVERBEND	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>
720026	KWP UTILITY COMPANY LLC	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>
<b>Union County</b>													
730001	ALPINE WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
730002	BLUE SPRINGS W/A #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
730003	INGOMAR WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
730004	KEOWNVILLE RURAL WATER ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.67</b>
730005	TOWN OF MYRTLE	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
730006	CITY OF NEW ALBANY	5.0	2.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
730008	NORTH HAVEN WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
730009	WALLERVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
730010	LAKE ARROWHEAD	1.0	0.0	1.0	<b>0.67</b>	0.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>	0.0	2.0	2.0	<b>1.33</b>
730013	MASTER-BILT PRODUCTS	2.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	2.0	3.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>	3.0	2.0	N/A	<b>2.50</b>
730017	CAYE HOME FURNISHINGS	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	5.0	N/A	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	N/A	<b>3.50</b>
730023	BLUE SPRINGS W/A #2-ELLISTOWN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>				<b>CON</b>				<b>CON</b>
730024	BETHLEHEM WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	1.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>
730025	HWY 30 WEST WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
730026	MUD CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION #4	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON – Consolidation; DIS – System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Walthall County													
740001	DEXTER WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	4.0	4.0	3.00	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.67	1.0	3.0	3.0	2.33
740002	IMPROVE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67
740004	LEXIE WATER ASSOCIATION, INC.	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
740005	TOWN OF TYLERTOWN	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
740076	MAGEES CREEK W/A-NORTH	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Warren County													
750002	CULKIN WATER DIST	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
750003	EAGLE LAKE WATER DISTRICT	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
750004	FISHER FERRY WATER DISTRICT	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
750005	HILLDALE WATER DISTRICT	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
750010	CITY OF VICKSBURG	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
750011	YOKENA-JEFF DAVIS WATER DEPT	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
750015	INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50	4.0	4.0	N/A	4.00	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50
Washington County													
760001	TOWN OF ARCOLA	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67
760003	GLEN ALLAN WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
760004	CITY OF GREENVILLE	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.00
760005	CITY OF HOLLANDALE	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	2.0	5.0	3.33
760006	CITY OF LELAND	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.33	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33
760007	TOWN OF METCALFE	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67
760009	SWIFTWATER DEV ASSN, INC	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00
760010	WINTERVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.67	2.0	5.0	3.0	3.33
760014	CITY OF GREENVILLE (AIRBASE)	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
760017	RASKIN ENTERPRISES LLC-DELTA	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.67	2.0	5.0	2.0	3.00	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.00
760025	CUMMINGS TRAILER PARK	1.0	4.0	1.0	2.00	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.67	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.67
760026	WAYSIDE WATER ASSOCIATION	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33	4.0	3.0	1.0	2.67
760034	GOLDING ACRES WATER ASSN	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.00	2.0	4.0	1.0	2.33	2.0	4.0	1.0	2.33
760044	DELTA BRANCH EXPERIMENT STA	3.0	2.0	N/A	2.50	3.0	3.0	N/A	3.00	4.0	3.0	N/A	3.50
760074	LAKE JACKSON WATER ASSN	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.33	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.00	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33
760075	DARLOVE-MURPHY WATER ASSN	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.33	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.67	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.00
760076	BLACK BAYOU WATER ASSN.	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.33	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.67
760078	HARLOW'S CASINO				NS				NS	3.0	2.0	N/A	2.50
Wayne County													
770001	BUCKATUNNA WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
770002	CLARA WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
770003	CITY OF WAYNESBORO	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
770004	WHISTLER WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
770005	HIWANNEE WATER ASSOCIATION #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial  
Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON – Consolidation; DIS – System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
770007	SOUTHWEST WAYNE WATER ASSN	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
770008	HIWANEE WATER ASSOCIATION #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
<b>Webster County</b>													
780002	CADARETTA WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
780003	CUMBERLAND WATER ASSN #1	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
780004	DANCY WATER ASSOCIATION, INC.	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
780005	CITY OF EUPORA	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>
780006	MANTEE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
780007	TOWN OF MATHISTON	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
780008	MT ZION WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
780009	SAPA WATER SYSTEM	4.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>
780010	TOMNOLEN WATER ASSN, INC	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.00</b>	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>
780012	SAVANNAH WATER ASSOCIATION #1	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>	1.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.00</b>	1.0	3.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>
780016	SPRING VALLEY WATER ASSN	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	2.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
780018	WEBSTER CENTER WATER ASSN	2.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>
780020	CUMBERLAND WATER ASSN #2	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
<b>Wilkinson County</b>													
790001	BLEAKHOUSE WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
790002	BUFFALO WATER ASSOCIATION	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>	1.0	3.0	5.0	<b>3.00</b>	0.0	5.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
790003	TOWN OF CENTREVILLE	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
790005	OLD RIVER WATER ASSN	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.33</b>
790006	SOUTH CENTREVILLE W/A	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
790007	TOWN OF WOODVILLE	2.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>	1.0	4.0	5.0	<b>3.33</b>
790033	WILKINSON CO CORRECTIONAL CENT	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>	3.0	3.0	N/A	<b>3.00</b>
790035	OLD RIVER WATER ASSN- FORDS				<b>NS</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.00</b>
790036	BLEAKHOUSE WATER ASSOCIATION				<b>NS</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Winston County</b>													
800001	BOND WATER ASSOCIATION #1	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
800002	CALVARY WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
800004	CITY OF LOUISVILLE	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
800005	CITY OF LOUISVILLE - NORTHEAST	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>
800006	TOWN OF NOXAPATER	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
800007	PUGH'S MILL WATER ASSOCIATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>
800009	SOUTHEAST NOXAPATER WATER	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.00</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
800010	WHITE HALL WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	2.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.33</b>	1.0	4.0	3.0	<b>2.67</b>
800011	HIGHPOINT WATER ASSOCIATION #1	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	2.0	4.0	<b>2.67</b>	2.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.00</b>
800013	ELLISON RIDGE WATER ASSN	4.0	2.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.67</b>	3.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.33</b>
800015	NANIH-WAIYA WATER ASSOCIATION	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>
800019	LIBERTY-PLATTSBURG W/A #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.67</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.33</b>	2.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.00</b>
<b>Yearly State Averages</b>		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

PWS ID	Public Water Supply	Fiscal Year 2008 7/1/07 - 6/30/08				Fiscal Year 2009 7/1/08 - 6/30/09				Fiscal Year 2010 7/1/09 - 6/30/10			
		T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall	T	M	F	Overall
Yalobusha County													
810002	TOWN OF COFFEEVILLE	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.00	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.33
810003	CYPRESS CREEK RURAL WTR ASSN	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
810004	EAST END WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.67	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00
810005	JEFF DAVIS W/A INC	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
810007	TOWN OF OAKLAND	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.33	1.0	0.0	2.0	1.00	0.0	2.0	3.0	1.67
810008	OTUCKOLOFA WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.67	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.67	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.33
810009	TILLATOBA WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.33	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	1.0	4.0	4.0	3.00
810010	TRI-LAKES WATER ASSN-EAST	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.67	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
810011	CITY OF WATER VALLEY	3.0	2.0	5.0	3.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
810012	TRI-LAKES WATER ASSN-WEST	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.00	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
810015	BILLYS CREEK RURAL W/A	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
810016	ENID LAKE ESTATES	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
810028	YALOBUSHA WATER/SEWER DIST #1	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.33
810029	YALOBUSHA WATER/SEWER DIST #2	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	2.0	3.67
810033	TRI-LAKES WATER ASSN-CENTRAL	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.67	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.67	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33
810035	CYPRESS CREEK RURAL W/A #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
810036	WINDSOR FOODS				NS	2.0	2.0	N/A	2.00	2.0	2.0	N/A	2.00
Yazoo County													
820002	TOWN OF BENTONIA	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.00	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.67
820003	CASEY JONES WATER ASSN.	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
820004	CENTRAL YAZOO #1 FLETCHERS CH.	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
820005	EAST YAZOO WATER ASSN	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.33	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
820006	TOWN OF EDEN	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.00	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.33	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.67
820007	HOLLY BLUFF WATERWORKS, INC.	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.67	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.33	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.00
820008	HILTON HEIGHTS WATER ASSN #1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
820009	LAKE CITY WATER ASSN-EAST	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
820010	MIDWAY W/A #1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
820011	RENSHAW WATER ASSOCIATION	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
820012	TOWN OF SATARTIA	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.00	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.67	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.33
820014	CITY OF YAZOO CITY	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.67
820015	LAKE CITY WATER ASSN-WEST	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.33	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
820024	TERRA INDUSTRIES YAZOO CITY	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50	4.0	5.0	N/A	4.50	5.0	5.0	N/A	5.00
820027	MIDWAY W/A #2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
820028	MIDWAY W/A #3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
820029	CENTRAL YAZOO #2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
820030	CENTRAL YAZOO #3 MECHANICSBURG	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.67
820031	CENTRAL YAZOO #4-BENTON	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00
Yearly State Averages		3.54	4.06	4.22	3.93	3.63	3.97	4.30	3.96	3.65	4.23	4.39	4.09

Maximum Rating = 5.0; T-Technical, M-Managerial, F-Financial

Key: N/A - Not Applicable; NS - New System; CON - Consolidation; DIS - System Dissolved

(This page intentionally left blank)




## **APPENDIX C**


### **Capacity Assessment Rating Distribution Charts**

(This page intentionally left blank)


8-Year Technical Score Distribution



**8-Year Managerial Score Distribution**



**8-Year Financial Score Distribution**



8-Year Overall Score Distribution

